NOTES BIBLIOGRAPHY INDEX

Abbreviations

Adler Friedrich Adler, ed., Victor Adler Briefwechsel mit August Bebel und

Karl Kautsky (Vienna, 1954).

Bebel Karl Kautsky, Jr., ed., August Bebels Briefwechsel mit Karl Kautsky (Assen, 1971).

Bebel-Engels Werner Blumenberg, ed., August Bebels Briefwechsel mit Friedrich Engels (The Hague, 1965).

Bernstein Helmut Hirsch, ed., Eduard Bernsteins Briefwechsel mit Friedrich Engels (Assen, 1970).

Blumenberg Werner Blumenburg, Karl Kautskys literarisches Werk (The Hague, 1960).

Engels Benedikt Kautsky, ed., Friedrich Engels' Briefwechsel mit Karl Kautsky (Vienna, 1955).

E∉E Karl Kautsky, Erinnerungen und Erörterungen (The Hague, 1960).

IRSH International Review of Social History (Amsterdam).

KA Kautsky archive, International Institute for Social History, Amsterdam.

KFA Kautsky family archive, International Institute for Social History, Amsterdam.

Lichtheim George Lichtheim, Marxism: An Historical and Critical Study (New York, 1961). Marx-Engels (1942) Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels. Selected Correspondence (New

York, 1942).

Marx-Engels (1960) Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, Selected Correspondence (Moscow,

VZ Die neue Zeit (Stuttgart, 1883–1917).

Osterroth Franz Osterroth, Biographisches Lexikon des Sozialismus (Hanover, 1960).

Protokoll Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands, Protokoll über die Verhandlungen des Parteitages (Berlin, 1891–1925).

Schorske Carl E. Schorske, German Social Democracy, 1905–1917: The De-

velopment of the Great Schism (New York, 1955).

Notes

Chapter One: Introduction

- 1. The first translation into Chinese of a work by Kautsky was Mack-ouc ching-dishaud-shou (Kan Marc' dosnomische Lehren (Shanghai, 1911). James P. Harrison, The Communits and Chinese Peasant Rebellions (New York, 1969), p. 26, suggested that this may have been the first book-length Marxist work translated into Chinese. A Chinese translation of Dietatoming of the Proletariat, Lun www.dran.thin-chi.chunau-cheng, appeared in Taiwain in 1971. In the same year, Kautsky's The Class Struggle was reissued in the United States. The Soviet-block states have published many translations of Kautsky's works since World War III.
- 2. The most important work by Lenin in establishing the precedent for almost unqualified vilification of Kautsky by communists was The Prolaterian Revolution and the Reneguale Kautsly, written in 1918. Contemporary expansion and ref nement of this position will be dealt with in the notes when appropriate. The noncommunist attack is best represented by James Joll, The Second International (New York, 1960), and John P. Nettl, Rosa Luxemburg, 2 vols. (London, 1966), and their criticisms will also be dealt with below. George Eichtheim: Marxison: An Hatsierial and Critical Study (New York, 1961), and Hans-Josef Steinberg, Swialismus und deutsche Swaialdemokrate (Hanover, 1967), are the only two authors of major works who have, in my opinion, done well by Kautsky, John H. Kautsky. "The Political Thoughts of Karl Kautsky" (Ph.D. diss., Harvard University. 1951), exaggerates certain aspects of Kautsky's work. He is a grandson of Kautsky and, at least in 1951, a defender at the expense of reasonable perspective. See pp. i, 8, and 11 of his dissertant of ree samples of this dissortion.
 - 3. Lichtheim and Steinberg fall into this category.

4. Lenin, Nettl, and Joll are examples of this approach.

5. I give my sincere thanks to Artur Rachwald for providing me with an analysis of Waldenberg's book. Kart Renner, an Austrian socialist, self-professed student of Kautsky, but during World War I an opponent of his mentor, wrote a brief and laudatory biographical selecth. Rard Kaudis (Berlin, 1929). In 1954, Hermann Brill published interesting but very limited review of some aspects of Rautsky's socialist career in "Karl Rautsky," Zuchafty für Pablad S. (September 1954), 211-40.

6. "Sozialistische Kolonialpolitik," NZ 27:2 (1908-09), 39.

On many occasions Kautsky discussed the tendency of individual variation to decrease in statistical importance as the size of the population increased. For a

258 + NOTES TO PAGES 7-19

particularly thorough treatment, see "Klasseninteresse - Sonderinteresse - Gemeininteresse," NZ 21:1 (1902-03), 240-45, 261-74.

8. "Allerhand Revolutionäres," NZ 22:1 (1903-04), 655-56.

9. Kautsky to Engels (4 December 1880), Engels, p. 10.

10. A most interesting discussion of the ambiguous nature of Wilhelmine Germany may be found in Ralf Dahrendorf, Society and Democracy in Germany (Garden City, N.Y., 1967), pp. 31-52.

11. Andrew G. Whiteside, The Socialism of Fools (Berkeley, 1975), p. 51, quoted Kautsky on what Whiteside called "his fellow Jews." The quote is accurately cited, but the context is wrong, since Kautsky was referring to the Jews he first met upon entering the Austrian socialist movement in the mid-1870s. See Benedikt Kautsky, ed., Luise Kautsky zum Gedenken (New York, 1945), and Kautsky's own explanation in E&E, pp. 36-37.

Chapter Two: Prague and Vienna, 1854-1879

 E&E, pp. 36-78, 148-49, 273. This is a memoir begun by Kautsky in 1936 and left uncompleted at his death in 1938. It covers only the years up to 1883, but constitutes the only major published source on Kautsky's early life.

2. E&E, pp. 35, 79-80, 102-05, 112; Kautsky to Adler (12 December 1904), Adler, pp. 441-42

3. E&E, pp. 108-09, 170-72, 194.

4. Wilhelm Liebknecht to Kautsky (4 October 1876), in George Eckert, ed., Wilhelm Liebknechts Briefwechsel mit deutschen Sozialdemokraten, vol. 1, 1862-1878 (Assen, 1973), p. 708; Marx to Jenny Longuet (11 April 1881), Marx-Engels (1942), p. 389; Engels to Kautsky (27 August 1881), Engels, p. 39. See also Engels to Bebel (25 August 1881), Bebel-Engels, p. 114.

5. E&E, pp. 10-12, 96-97, 113-33, 137-39, 152.

6. Ibid., pp. 78, 141, 175-76, 180-81.

7. Ibid., pp. 181-83, 227, 235-37, 273-74, 397-98; Kautsky to Ernst Haeckel (28 October 1882), KFA 8.

8. Kautsky to Engels (10 February 1881), Engels, pp. 1 (Kautsky's introduction), 16; Engels to Bebel (22-[24] June 1885), Bebel-Engels, p. 228.

9. Engels to Bebel (24 July 1885), Marx-Engels (1942), p. 440.

10. Engels to Kautsky (1 February 1881), Engels, p. 13.

11. E&E. pp. 238-42, 249-51, 253-60 (summary of Atlantic-Pacific Company), 260-64. 266-68, 273-74; contract between Edmund Gerson and Karl Kautsky, "dramatic author," 14 November 1878, KFA 3.

12. ESE, pp. 66-70, 88, 104-07, 160-61.

13. Ibid., pp. 162, 340; Blumenberg, pp. 12-13; Engels, p. 1; Kautsky to Luise (11 February 1911), KFA 36.

14. For example, Walter Holzheuer, Karl Kautskys Werk als Weltanschauung (Munich, 1972), tries to tie Kautsky's early work very specifically to Buckle and Haeckel. 15. E&E, pp. 212-13. The two authors who most emphasized the importance of

Darwin to the development of Kautsky's thought were Karl Korsch, "Die materialistische Geschichtsauffassung: Eine Auseinandersetzung mit Karl Kautsky." Archiv für die Geschichte des Sozialismus und der Arbeiterbewegung 14:2 (1929), 179-279, and, following Korsch's lead, Erich Matthias, "Kautsky und der Kautskyanismus," Marxismusstudien, 2nd ser. (1957), pp. 151-97.

16. E&E, pp. 155-61.

17. Ibid., pp. 88, 101.

18. Ibid., pp. 99, 186.

19. For Palacky and his influence on Czech nationalism, see Joseph F. Zacek, Palacky, The Historian as Scholar and Nationalist (The Hague, 1970); Hans Kohn, Nat By Arms Alone (Cambridge, Mass., 1940); pp. 68–83, Arthur J. May, The Hapburg Monarchy, 1867, (Cambridge, Mass., 1960), p. 24; and Jan Havranek, "The Development of Czech Nationalism," Autrina History Parabol 3 (1967), 224–33.

20. Kautsky discussed the impact of the Commune on his own development in several places, most notably in Edd. F. p. 178-86. Marx and Engels both chained the Commune as a model for the future with few reservations, for Marx, see The Cintl Wars Francound for Engels, see the introduction he wrote for an 1891 ecition of Marx's work. Though Lenin was more critical of the Commune was not the product of a glatiato by members of the First International; the Commune was not the product of a glatiato by members of the First International; the Communear's work of the Wars were not exclusively workers, and even among the minority of socialists, followers of Marx were not numerous. It was widely held for years that the Commune had been socialist and even Marxist. See David McLellan, Earl Adarx (New York, 1973), pp. 388-404.

 E&E, pp. 187–89. In 1896, Kautsky recommended M. Antoine to Victor Adler for secialization in the Austrian party newspaper. Kautsky to Adler (2 June), Adler, p. 209.
 E&E, pp. 186, 190; Kautsky to Luise (11 February 1911), KFA 36.

23. George Sand, The Sin of M. Antoine (Philadelphia, 1900), pp. 155, 167-68, 171.

24. E&E, pp. 190-93, 203-07.

25. Lassalle and the German workers' movement were covered in some detail by the liberal Vienness press; for example, see "Zur Arbeiterfrage," New Freir Perus, no, 103. November 1864. Kautsky briefly discussed his own relationship with Christian deima at this time in EEEE, pp. 210-12. See also Holdbuerer, Karl Kautsky Werk, pp. 2-11, for a discussion of the replacement of a Christian, humanist world view by a "natural-sisentific materialisms at Withnishmouth."

26. Probably it would be better to use scientism instead of positivism here, but it would also be pedantic. Walter M. Simon, European Positivism in the Nineteenth Century (Ithaca. N.Y., 1963), suggested that such a substitution be made in all treatments of nineteenthcentury intellectual history. However, positivism has come to be used very generally to refer to that vague but widespread European movement which held that the investigatory and analytical techniques of the natural sciences should be applied to all fields of human knowledge, and placed its faith in science rather than in ethics or religion for the salvation of mankind from the evils of itself and the outer world. As used here, "positivism" does not mean the school that identified with the doctrines of August Comte. This distinction is critical because of the importance of Darwin to the development of Kautsky's thought. Virtually all of the Positivists (in the Comtian sense) greeted Darwin's theory of natural selection with hostility, contending that it was "rationalistic but not experimental, ingenious but lacking sufficient evidence" (Simon, p. 25). This distinction between the rationalistic and the experimental is what separates most of the followers of Comte from the larger positivist movement in an epistemological sense: most Comtians were not in the mainstream of the Enlightenment tradition to the extent that they rejected rationalism. Marx, Engels, Kautsky, and virtually all Marxists were rationalists, and therefore they fall into this mainstream. For a discussion of epistemology in the nineteenth century, see Ernest Cassirer, The Problem of Knowledge (New Haven, 1950), especially pp. 118-216. See also Herbert Marcuse, Reason and Revolution (Boston, 1960), pp. 323-88.

By materialism I do not mean to imply strict philosophical materialism which rejects the reality of ideas, but rather that tendency which seeks to identify material determinants of ideas and actions, whether in economics or biochemistry or elsewhere. This too is a tendency present in the Enlightenment tradition. For a discussion of Marx and materialism, see Z. A. Jordan, The Evolution of Dialectical Materialism (New York, 1967), pp. 13–64. Jordan argues that Marx should be called a naturalist, rather than a materialist, a distinction that is important only if the terms naturalism and materialism are taken out of Marx's historical milieu and given strict philosophical interpretations.

27. E∉E, pp. 212-13. The interrelations of materialists in Europe at mid-century could hardly be better demonstrated than by the fact that Buckle's German translator was none other than Arnold Ruge, one of the central figures of the Young Hegelian movement.

28. Ernst Hacckel, The History of Creation, 2 vols. (London, 1876), 1, 170–74. For a very thorough discussion of Hacckel, see Daniel Gasman, The Scientific Origins of National Socialism (London, 1971).

 Haeckel, History, 1, 256, 281, 313, 11, 307-10, 321. For Kautsky's explanation of the origins of less culturally developed races, see "Die Entstehung der ethischen Begriffe," Pomairt. 30 December 1877.

30. Haeckel, History, I. 4, 169, 179, 203-26, 237, 350.

31. E&F., pp. 518-21; Adler to Dr. Johannes Volkelt (13 September 1882), Adler, pp. 4-6; K-susky to Haeckel (28 October 1882), KFA 8; Gasman, Scientific Origins, p. 151; Hans-Günter Zmarlik, "Der Sozialdarwinismus in Deutschland als geschichtliches Problem," Vietelsjahnshelfe für Zeitgeischicht 11 (July 1963), 299 n.19. In a letter to Engels, Kautsky identified Komma va. "alberal German Darwinist technical journal," the edited Comma va. "alberal German Darwinist technical journal," the despense

which had socialist sympathies. Kautsky to Engels (12 March 1884), Engels, p. 108.

22. Ludwig Bichner, Force and Matter (New York, 1891), pp. v-wii (1855 preface), 71,
75, 156, 222, 223-40, 242, 254, 259, 301-15, 367, 393. In this edition (it is reprinted from
the fourth English edition, translated from the fifteenth German edition) Hackels is
cited or discussed on pp. 2, 67, 71, 132, 414-46, 155, 156, 161-64, 176, 238, 337, 350.

33. Ibid., pp. v, 57, 171, 189-90, 299, 343.

34. E&E, pp. 212-13.

35. Force and Matter, pp. 382-83.

36. "Entstehung," Vorwärts, 30 December 1877.

37. Büchner, Force and Matter, p. 388.

38. Henry Thomas Buckle, History of Civilization in England, 2 vols. (New York, 1939), I, 4, 5, 13. See also p. 163 for an incredible pacan to progress.

39. Ibid., 1, 29-30, 81-93, 106, II, 2-7. Buchner contended almost precisely the same thing and cited Buckle as a source. Force and Matter, pp. 370-71.

40. Buckle, History, 1, 113, 162, 171, 209, 599, 600, 627, 688-89.

41. Many of Kautsky's articles appeared in several parts, as was customary in socialist journals. In 1875, he published a four-part article on "Darwin und der Scotalismus," in the Glackheit, in 1876, a two-part article on "Der Sozialismus und der Kampf um da Dasein, in the Fordaus," in 1877-1878, a five-part article on "Der Kampf um's Dasein in der Menschemwelt, in the Fordaus, "In 1877-1878, a four-part article on "Die Entstehung der ethischen Begriffe" and a three-part article on "Das Bevölkerungsgesetz und die Bewaldung," in the Fordaus, "These comprise his major discussion of natural science and socialism. Much of his more reportorial work on Austrian affairs appeared in the "Correspondenome" column of the Fordaus. A Item the virtual enlimation of the German socialist press following the passage of the antisocialist law in late 1878, most of Kautsky's articles for the remainder of the year and for all of 1879 appeared in the Austrian press, especially in Der Sozialist. The latter year was the only one in which the majority of his work appeared in that country.

42. "Der Sozialismus und der Kampf um das Dasein," Volksstaat, 28 and 30 April 1876.

NOTES TO PAGES 31-41 . 261

This article was signed "von einem Serben," one of several pseudonyms Kautsky used at that time.

- 43. Ibid., 28 April.
 - 44. Ibid., 30 April. 45. Ibid., 28 April.
- 18. E&E, pp. 2525, 667, 375-77; "Geschichte und Sozialismus," Verwärtt, 8 March 1878. As an example of his familiarity with Marx, see "Der Kampf um's Dasein in der Menschenwelt," Verwärtz, 80 March, 1, 68, and 14 Japril 1877, where Kautsky identified Marx and Darwin as the two men who had finally provided the means by which history based on "the naturalist laws of human development" could be written. Darwin provided
- a satisfying materialist world explanation, and Marx traced intellectual developments "to the history of production" (30 March 1877). 47. Engels to Bebel (15 October 1875), Marx-Engels, p. 365, and Bebel-Engels, pp. 40-41.
- 48. EGE, pp. 367-70, 373-74; "Entstehung," Vorwärts, 6 February 1878. The editorial note appended to the latter pointed out that Kautsky's contention of a low level of ethical and cultural development in China was probably wrong, referring the reader to a review
- of Herbert A. Giles' Chinese Sketches which had appeared in the Poruërts of 1 February.

 49. Engels, p. 4; Peter Gay, The Dilemma of Democratic Socialism (New York, 1952), p. 43.

 A more thorough discussion of Anti-Dikring and its impact on Kaustky will be taken up in
- A more thorough discussion of Anti-Dühring and its impact on Kautsky will be taken up in the next chapter.

 50. "Die Physiognomie der heutigen Gesellschaft," Vorwärts, 31 March 1878: "Sozial-
- Conservatives," Vorwirts, 14 April 1878; Engels, p. 7.
 51. Herbert Steiner, Die Arbeiterbewegung Oesterreichs, 1867-1889 (Vienna, 1964), pp.
- Herbert Steiner, Die Arbeiterbewegung Oesterreichs, 1867–1889 (Vienna, 1964), pp. 73–78, 82, 121, 169; Vorwärts, 3 June 1877; Havranek, "Czech Nationalism," pp. 224–29.
 - Steiner, Arbeiterbewegung, p. 131.
 Ibid., pp. 3, 7-9, 61-69, 82-101.
 - 54. Ibid., pp. 132-35, 157-61, 171-83, and 197-208.
- 55. Ibid., pp. 13-15, 28-31, 37-39, 66, 104-11, 115-17, 119-20, 169, 244. For Kautsky's account of the early history of the Austrian socialist movement, see "Die Arbeiterbewegung in Oesterreich," NZ, 8 (1890), 49-56, 97-106, 154-63.
- 56. EGE, pp. 227-31, 307, 316. Kautsky's first contribution to the Austrian socialist press was an attack on the moderates, "Oberwinders Schwanengesang," Gleichheit, 29 May 1875.
- 57. E&E., pp. 285, 317, 334–38. For a discussion of the genesis and function of these observers at working-class gatherings, see Richard Reichard, Crippled from Birth: German Social Democray, 1844–1870 (Ames. 1004, 1969), pp. 116–18.
 - 58. "Correspondenzen," Vorwarts, 4 October 1876, 18 May and 3 June 1877.
 - 59. "Die Parteien und die Wissenschaft," Vorwärts, 3 October 1877.
- 60. EJE, pp. 344-46, 539, 582, 415; "Correspondenzen," and "Aus Oesterreich," Poravire, 29 May and 7 June 1878. A few years after the fact, Kausky also suggested that the moderation of Kaler-Reinthal's 1876 program changes and the reunion with the former Oberwinderians caused a backlash in the direction of anarchism. Steiner, Arbeiterbeargong, p. 128 (quoting Kautsky to Herman Schlueter, 30 December 1884, International Institute for Social History). For further discussion of Kautsky a attuderwoard Most and the Austrian anarchists, see Adolf Braun, "Kautsky in Oesterreich," and Eduard Bernstein, "Kautskys erstes Wirken in der deutschen Sozialdemokrate," both in Karf Kautsky: Der Denker und Kämpfer (Vernan, 1924), pp. 61-63, 67-68; Vernon Lidtke, The Outlaused Paris, Social Democracy in Germany, 1878–1890 (Princeton, 1966), p. 111. Steiner, Arbeiterbeavgen, pp. 155-59.
 - 61. E&E, pp. 283-84. Braun, "Kautsky in Oesterreich," p. 62, suggested that Kautsky's

eventual failure to turn much of the Austrian party away from Most influenced him to move even closer to the Germans.

62. E&E, pp. 353, 412-13, 415-17, 419-20; Engels, p. 7; Steiner, Arbeiterbewegung,

p. 17.

Chapter Three: The Peripatetic Decade, 1880-1890

1. EGE, pp. 420-21; Mary Lefebvre to Kautsky (18 April 1880), KFA 4; Engels, p. 122 n.5; Bebel, p. 87 n. 8; Eduard Bernstein, My Farar of Exite (London, 1921), p. 107; August Bebel, Aus meinn Leben, 3 vols. (Stuttgart, 1910-14), III, 96.

E&E, pp. 448, 450. For an account of the German socialist exiles in Zurich, see the
pertinent passages in Lidtke, The Outlawed Party, and in Bernstein, My Years.

E∉E, pp. 431-33, 461-62, 491-92; Kautsky to Engels (10 February 1881), Engels, p.

4. E&E., pp. 433-34, 440; Bebel, xxx (quoting Kautsky, Die Volkswirtschaftslehre der Gegenwart [Berlin, 1924], p. 10); Engels, p. 7; Bernstein, "Kautskys erstes Wirken," p. 70;

Bernstein, My Years p. 108; Gay, Dilemma, p. 51.

5. EEE, pp. 462-70; Engels, p. 8; Bebel 16 Engels (26 December 1880), Engels to Bebel (20-123) January 1886), Bebel-Engels, pp. 100, 250-51; Bernstein to Engels (6 February and 9 September 1881), Engels to Bernstein (12 March, 14 April, and 25 August 1881), Bernstein, pp. 18-19; 25, 33; Lidtke, The Outlawed Party, pp. 91-93; Gay, Dilemma, p. 47; Bebel, Leben, III, 168.

6. Kautsky to Engels (4 December 1880), Engels to Kautsky (1 February 1881), Engels,

pp. 9-10, 12-13.

7. E&E, pp. 470-72, 475, 481-82, 484; Engels, pp. 17, 23.

8. Marx to Jenny Longuet (11 April 1881), Marx-Engels (1942), p. 389; Engels, pp. 88-89.

 Engels to Bernstein (14 April 1881), Bernstein, p. 25; Engels to Bebel (25 August 1881), Bebel-Engels, p. 114.

10. Engels to Bebel (30 April 1883), Bebel-Engels, pp. 152-53.

 E&E, pp. 429, 439, 474, 498-502, 505; Engels, pp. 8, 10-11; Lidtke, The Outlawed Parts, pp. 169-70. Höchberg's parts activities ended completely in 1883, and he died in 1885. Kautsky and Höchberg never met again after the former left Zurich in 1882, but they continued to correspond.

12 EEE, pp. 508-09; Kautsky to Engels (11 and 31 May, 6 September 1882); Engels, pp. 54, 58-59, 61; Steiner, driebriewaguag, pp. 173-80, 208; 216; 221; 233. Amough te many attacks on the anarchists Kautsky published in the Viennese socialist journal Wahrheit, see especially "Das Raubstennet an Merstallinger" and "Mit allen Mittellin," both 1 September 1882, reprinted in Ludwig Brügel, Geschichte der österreichischen Sexialdemokraft, 4 vols. (Vienna, 1922-23), III, 288-72.

Bernstein to Engels (13 July 1882), Engels to Bernstein (15 July 1882), Bernstein,
 pp. 116, 118; Kautsky to Engels (11 and 31 May, 6 September 1882), Engels, pp. 57-59.
 £EE, pp. 514-17, 523-25, 528; Kautsky to Engels (11 October 1882), Engels, pp. 64, 68.

15. For the radical-moderate split of the antisocialist years, see Lidtke, The Outlawed Party, pp. 82–83, 89–90, 129–38, 152, 154, 158. For the ambiguous, petit bourgeois and democratic roots of German socialism, see Reichard, Crippled from Birth.

democratic roots of German socialism, see Reichard, Crippled from Birth.

16. E&E, pp. 374–75; Kautsky to Engels (11 October and 11 November 1882), Engels to Kautsky (15 November 1882), Engels, pp. 64–66, 68. In the first year of the New Zeit, Kautsky wrote articles on "Die sozialen Triebe in der Tierwell," "Der Kamof ums Dassin uns Dassin

in der Pflanzenwelt," "Die Traditionen der sozialen Tiere"; the second year opened with a three-part article on "Die sozialen Triebe in der Menschenwelt,"

Kautsky to Engels (14 September and 3 October 1883, 15 April and 29 May 1884).
 Engels to Kautsky (18 September 1883), Engels, pp. 81–82, 85, 110–11, 118–19.

Engels to Kautsky (18 September 1883), Engels, pp. 81-82, 85, 110-11, 118-19.
 18. Kautsky to Engels (5 August and 3 October 1883), Engels, pp. 79-80, 82, 85-86,
 88, 91: Kautsky to Bebel (30 October 1884), Bebel, pp. 19-21, Apparently Liebknecht

vetoed this move to London.

19. Kautsky to Engels (29 May and 2 June 1884), Engels, pp. 117–18, 122; Kautsky to Engels (29 May 1884), KFA 8. In his memoirs, Blos made only a brief passing reference to his participation on the New Zeit. See Wilhelm Blos, Denhavardigheiten eines Satialdemokra-

ten, 2 vols. (Munich, 1914–19), 11, 69.
20. Kautsky to Engels (29 May and 23 June 1884), Engels, pp. 117–18, 125; Bebel to Engels (8 June 1884), Bebel-Engels, p. 184.

Engels (8 June 1884), Bebel-Engels, p. 184.
21. Bebel to Kautsky (13 September 1884), Bebel, pp. 18–19.

22. Kautsky to Bebel (8 November 1884), Bebel, p. 24; Engels, p. 165.

23. Engels to Kautsky (19 July 1884), Kautsky to Engels (26 June, 18 August, and 26

October 1884.9 January 1885). Engels, pp. 129. 137–38. 140, 152–53. 161–62; Bernstein to Engels (16 July 1884). Bernstein, p. 284. Liebknecht played a very inconsistent role in all these developments; he seemed motivated by a need to try to maintain unity at all costs and by the personal interests of Geiser.

Engels to Kautsky (26 April 1884), Kautsky to Engels (29 April 1884), Engels, pp. 111-13; Kautsky to Bebel (3 October and 8 November 1884), Bebel, pp. 21, 25; Lidtke, The Outlawed Party, p. 130.

Kautsky to Bebel (3 October 1884, 14 February 1885), Bebel, pp. 20-21, 26-28.
 Kautsky to Engels (22 October, 22 December 1884, 9 January 1885), Engels, pp.

152-53, 157-58, 160-62.
27. Kautsky to Engels (30 July and 9 August 1886), Engels to Kautsky (31 July 1886), Engels, pp. 165-67, 194-95, 198; Kautsky to Adler (15 March 1887), Adler, p. 28; Walter

Emden and secretary to Kautsky (6 May 1887 through 30 April 1888), KFA 2.

28. Kautsky to Engels (9 January 1885), Engels, pp. 161-62; Kautsky to Bebel (14

February, 10 and 16 March, and 22 April 1885), Bebel, pp. 27-28, 35-39.

29. Kautsky to Bernstein (5 April 1885), Bernstein, pp. 434-35; Engels to Bebel (22-[24] June 1885), Bebel-Engels, p. 228; Lidtke, The Outlaned Party, pp. 193-204. Vertrauenumann is virtually untranslatable in the sense implied here; it was used by the socialists during the antisocialist law period to describe the person who served as a party contact for several other more or less clandestine socialists to keep them informed of party developments and help disseminate illegal literature. Obviously these people were highly respected and trusted in party circles.

30. Engels to Kautsky (23 May 1884, 1 April 1890), Kautsky to Engels (2 April 1890), Engels, pp. 115, 251–52; Kautsky to Bebel (15 December 1885, 25 October 1886), Bebel, pp. 46-47, 57; Bebel to Engels (5 July and 7 December 1885, 9 April 1890), Bebel-Engels,

pp. 231, 245-46, 388.

31. Engels to Kautsky (17 October 1888), Engels, pp. 212-14, 222-24; Bebel to Engels (15 October 1888), Engels to Bebel (25 October 1888), Bebel Engels, pp. 337, ON Tatalie Liebknecht to Engels (27 November 1888), in Wilhelm Liebknecht, Brighten Mit Karl Mars und Friedrich Engels, ed. Georg Eckart (The Hague, 1963), pp. 320. Chushichi Tsuzuki, The Life of Eleanor Marx (Oxford, 1967), pp. 244-47. For Engels onewhat muddled account of the Kautsky split, see Engels to Laura Lafraguel (3 October 1888), in Friedrich Engels, Paul and Laura Lafargue, Correspondence, trans. Yvonne Kapp, 9 vols (Moscow, 1960), II, 157-58.

- Kautsky to Bebel (14 and 22 September 1888), Bebel, pp. 68-69, 70-71; Engels to Kautsky (15 September 1889), Engels, pp. 247, 249 n.5; Tsuzuki, Eleanor Marx, pp. 257-63.
- Engels to Kautsky (18 and 28 January, and 20 February 1889), Kautsky to Engels (5 and 26 February 1889), Engels, pp. 227–30, 232–60, 265; Kautsky to Adler (5 August 1891), Adler, p. 75.
- 34. Kautsky to Engels (13 May, 20, 27, and 28 June, and 10 July 1892), Engels to Kautsky (17 May, 25 June, and 5 July 1892, and 21 May 1895), Engels, pp. 359-57; Tsuzuki, Elgenor Marx, p. 247.
- Tsuzuki, Eleanor Marx, p. 247. 35. Engels, pp. 433–50; Bebel to Adler (17 November and 20 December 1890, 5 June 1892, and 18 September 1895), Adler, pp. 63–64, 66–67, 90, 187.
- 36. Kautsky to Engels (9 April and 22 August 1890), Engels, pp. 213, 251, 253-55, 258; Kautsky to Luise Ronsperger (drafts and letter, 29 December 1889), KFA 35.
- 37. Testimomy by Kausky to the importance of Anti-Dibring occurs in many places, see especially Engels, p. 4, and Ede, p. 43.68-78. p. 43.68-78. in 482-84. For the changes in Marxism made by Engels, see Erhard Lucas, "Marx' and Engels' Auseinandersetzung mit Darwin: Zur Differenz zwischen Marx und Engels, "IRSH 9 (1964), 433-69; Dieter Grob, "Marx, Engels, und Darwin: Naturgesetzliche Entwicklung oder Revolution?" Politicke Viertel-pidrexischif 8 (1967), 544-59; Donald C. Hodges, "Engels' Contribution to Marxism," The Scialatl Register 1965 (New York, 1965), pp. 297-310; Alfred Schmidt, The Congress of Nature in Marx (London, 1971), pp. 51-61; Paul Walton and Andrew Gamble, From Mientanto in Surphin Value (London, 1972), pp. 17-61. Lichtheim, pp. 234-58.
 - 38. Friedrich Engels. Anti-Dühring (London, 1943), pp. 15. 31.

For the debate on Kautsky, see Korsch, "Geschichtsauffassung"; Matthias, Kautsky;
 and Steinberg, Sozialis mus., pp. 51–53.

- 40. "Der Darwinismus und die Revolution," "Die urswüchsige Form des Kampfes um"s Dasein, "Der Staatsoralismus," "Phåkenthum," "Saiddlemdart, 4 and 18 April 1880, 15 September and 8 December 1881, 6 July 1882. "Die sozialen Triebe in der Tierveki, NZ 1 (1883), 20-27, 67-73; "Die vosialen Triebe in der Menschenwik," NZ 2 (1884), 13-19, 49-59, 118-25; "Die Indianerfrage," NZ 3 (1885), 17-21, 63-78, and 107-16. In "Menschenwelt," p. 124, Kaustky wrote, "Just aw with the social animals, soa hot with humans the social instincts have been mechanically bred, without the intervention of an idea."
- "Das winzige Mauslein," "Verschwörung oder Revolution?" "Der Staatssozialismus," Sozialdemokrat, 30 January, 20 February, 6 March, 29 September, and 8 December 1881.
- 42. "Ein materialistischer Historiker," NZ 1 (1883), 538-39. This critique of materialist
- historians of the Enlightenment might well be applied to Kausky's own work up to 1884, 45. Kautsky to Engles (29 December 1885, 14 February and 12 March 1884, 9 August 1886), Engles to Kausky (5 February 1885, 11 August 1886), Engles, pp. 91-92, 97-98, 103, 197-99; Blumenberg, pp. 39-40. The straight presentation of Mars is or persented by the series of articles entitled "'Das Ellend der Philosophie' und 'Das Kapital," NZ 4 (1886), 71-94, 95-8, 117-92 (157-65.
- 44. The Economic Doctrines of Karl Marx (London, 1936). Since World War II this book has been reissued in the Soviet Union and many Soviet-bloc states. See Blumenberg, pp. 39-40.
- 45. "Die Aussichtslosigkeit der Sozialdemokratie," NZ 3 (1885), 179–88, 193–202; "Die Quintessenz des Sozialismus," NZ 3 (1885), 515–19; "Aus dem Nachlass von Rodbertus," NZ 4 (1886), 258–65; "Juristen-Sozialismus," NZ 5 (1887), 48–62. In "Aussichts," Kautsky argued that Schäffle changed his mind about sozialism as his personal de-

pendence on the state increased, thus implying both opportunism and cowardice. For Kautsky's denial of the importance of those being criticized, see Kautsky to Bebel (15 December 1885), Bebel, p. 46. For Engels' similar disavowals, see Engels to Laura Lafargue (2 November 1886). Engels, Lafargue Correspondance, p. 406.

46. Kautsky to Engels (29 May and 11 O-cober 1884), Engels to Kautsky (26 June and 20 September 1884), Engels, pp. 118-19, 126-27, 144-45, 147; Kautsky to Bebel (8 November 1884), Ebecember 1885), Bebel, pp. 25, 64. Engels to Bebel (20-23) January 1886), Bebel-Engels, pp. 250-51. Vernon L. Lidike, "German Social Democracy and German States Socialism, 1876-1884", TRSH 9 (1964), 202-25, tyets the background for the anti-Rodbertus debate, concluding that at least among the Reichstag Fraktion the appeal of Rodbertus and his followers was significant.

47. Karl Kautsky, "Das 'Kapital' von Rodbertus," "Eine Replik," "Schlusswort," NZ 2 (1884), 337-50, 385-402, 494-503, and 3 (1885), 224-32; C. A. Schramm, "K. Kautsky und Rodbertus," "Antwort an Herrn K. Kautsky," NZ 2 (1884), 481-94, and 3 (1885),

218-23.

48. Review of Anon., Die Aristokratie die Geisten als Lönning der Sesialen Frage, NZ 3 (1885), 90-92. As early as 6 July 1882, in "Phäkeuthum," Sosialdemobrat, Kautsky had argued that Darwin himself had suggested that humans were not inalterably bound to act in accordance with the same natural laws that governed the lives of animals. He eventually added to his general observation about the inapplicability of natural-scientific laws to social situations when he specifically denied that Marxism and Darwinisms were related. "Sozialisms und Darwinisms," Osterredischer Arbeiterlasdiende jit das July 1890, pp. 49-54, cited in Steinberg, Sesialismus, p. 42, and Gasman, Scientific Origins, pp. 123-24. See also "Darwinismus und Marxismus." NZ 13 (1894-95), 709-91.

49. See chapter 2, "First Publications," for Kautsky's earlier views.

"Die chinesischen Eisenbahnen und das europäischer Proletariat," NZ 4 (1886),
 "Kommunistische Kolonien," NZ 5 (1887),

51. "Notizen," "Statistische Revue," NZ 1 (1883), 245-46, 388-89; "Die Erhaltung des Kleinbauernstandes," NZ 3 (1885), 321-29; "Die technische Enwiscklung," NZ 5 (1887), 510-15; "Statistische Schonfarbereri," NZ 6 (1888), 29-34; "Herru Dr. Stiebling's Theorie der Wirkungen der Kapitalsverdictung," NZ 6 (1888), 164-69; "Die Verschwendung in der kapitalsitischen Produktionweise," NZ 7 (1889), 25-35 (the quote is from D. 26).

52. "Soll Deutschland Kolonien gründen?" Staatswirtschaftliche Abhandlungen 1 (1879– 80), 394–400; Kautsky to Engels (11 May 1882), Engels, p. 56. See also "Kommunistische

Kolonien," Sozialdemokrat, 27 March and 3 April 1881, in which Kautsky flatly rejected colonization and emigration as parts of the socialists' program.

53. "Auswanderung und Kolonisation. I.," NZ 1 (1883), 365-70; "Tongking," review of R. E. Jung, Deutsche Kolonien (Leipzig, 1884), and "Das Recht auf Arbeit," NZ 2 (1884).

156-64, 237-39, 300: "Die deutsche Auswanderung." NZ 3 (1885), 253-57.
54. "Auswanderung II," NZ 1 (1883), 393-404: "Die sozialen Triebe in der Menschensekt," NZ 2 (1884), 118-24; "Die Indianerfrage." NZ 3 (1885), 17-20;

"Kamerun." NZ 6 (1888), 15-26.

55. "Auswanderung II," NZ 1 (1883), 404; "Tongking," NZ 2 (1884), 158, 163-64; "Indianerfrage," NZ 3 (1885), 116; "Kamerun," NZ 6 (1888), 27.

56. "Der Übergang von der kapitalistischen zur sozialistischen Produktionsweiser, Jahrhuch für Saniahistischen Am Staulischist 12 (1880), 59-60, Kautsky to Engles (*18 August 1884), Engels to Kautsky to Auflet (*15 Aurcha and 7 June 1887), Adder, pp. 29-33. Lenin's dictum was that on their own the workers are capable of developing only trade-union consciousness. See What is the Bonet (Moscow, 1947), p. 42. In this work (first published in 1992), Lenin quoted at

length some "profoundly true and important utterances by Karl Kautsky" (p. 51) on the subject of the need for supervision in the development of the workers' socialist consciousness.

57. Kaimus, pp. 61, 264. 8–49. correctly all 884]. Engels, pp. 106-07, 112-13. Seinberg, pp. 106-07, 112-13. Seinberg, pp. 106-07, 112-14. Seinberg, pp. 106

58. Kautsky to Engels (6 September 1882), Engels, p. 60; Kautsky to Bebel (15 December 1885, 17 August 1886), Kautsky to H. W. Fabian (2] anuary 1885), Bebel, pp. 47, 53-54, 364; "Übergang," Jahrbuch, 1:2 (1880), 65; "Der Staatssozialismus und die Sozialdemokratie," "Der Staatssozialismus," "Abschaffung," Sazialdemokrat, 6 March, 8 and 15 December 1881; "Historier," NZ 1 (1883), 542; "Die chinesichen Eisenbahnen," NZ 4 (1886), 518; "Die moderne Nationalität," NZ 5 (1887), 403, 405; "Verschwendung," NZ 7 (1889), 29; "Bodenbesitzreform und Sozialismus," NZ 8 (1890), 397.

59. "Soll Deutschland," Staats. Abhand., 1 (1879–80), 398; "Die Bourgeoisie und die Republik," "Abschaffung," Sozialdemokrat, 24 April and 15 December 1881; "Nationalität," NZ 5 (1887), 442.

60. "Die chinesischen Eisenbahnen," NZ 4 (1886), 516–17; "Die Arbeiterbewegung in Oesterreich," NZ 8 (1890), 100.

61. "Verschwörung," Wahlen und Attentate," Sozialdemokrat, 20 February and 5 June 1881; "Die internationale Arbeitsgesetzgebung," Jahrbuch für Sozialwüssenschaft und Sozialphütik 2 (1881), 111-2

62. "Bourgeoisie," "Freiheit," Sozialdemokrat, 24 April and 7 July 1881.

 "Freiheit. Antwort an den Genossen A.B.C. [Robert Seidel]," "Klassenkampf und Sozialismus," Sozialdemokrat, 8 and 28 September 1881.

64. "Übergang," Jahrbuch 1:2 (1880), 61-63; "Darwinismus," Sozialdemokrat, 4 April 1880: "Arbeitsgesetzgebung," Jahrbuch 2 (1881), 112; "Die Sterblichkeit der Kostkinder," NZ 1 (1883), 191-96.

65. Engels, pp. 178-80; EEE, pp. 521-22; Thomas More and His Utopia (New York, 1959); Die Klausengegensütz im Zeitalter der franzönischen Revolution (Stuttgart, 1908); "Die Entstehung der böblischen Urgeschichte," Komon, 7 (1883), 201-14; "Zum Luther jubilaum," NZ 1 (1883), 483-96; "Die Entstehung des Christentums," NZ 1 (1883), 481-99, 529-45; "Die Bergarbeiter und der Bauernkrieg, vornämlich in Thüringen," NZ 7 (1889), 289-97, 337-50, 410-17, 443-53, 507-15. Engels had high praise for these last articles. See Engels to Kautsky (15 September 1889). Engels, p. 247.

66. Kautsky to Engels (18 and late August 1887), Engels, pp. 208, 210; More, pp. 4, 12,

142, 146, 153, 159, 171, 186, 206-10.

67. Engels to Kautsky (20 February 1889), Kautsky to Engels (26 February 1889), Engels, pp. 232-36.

68. Klassengegensätze, pp. 3-5, 9, 10, 23, et passim.

NOTES TO PAGES 83-90 . 267

Chapter Four: Challenge from the Right, 1890-1904

1. Julius Braunthal, History of the International, 2 vols. (New York, 1967), 1, 233, 252; Lichtheim, p. 267. For Kautsky's role in the Hungarian party, the 1903 program of which he drafted, see Tibor Erenvi, "The Activities of the Social Democratic Party of Hungary During the First Decade of the Century," in Studies on the History of the Hungarian Working-Class Movement, (1867–1966), ed. Henrik Yass (Budapes, 1975), pp. 35–36.

2. Erich Matthias and Eberhard Pikart. Die Reichtagfenkinn der deutschen Seinidelmen Eberlie 1918, pp. 1 Disseldorf, 1966, by. xxxii. William H. Machl, German Mille katrin and Secialium (Lincoln, Neb., 1968), pp. 75–78. Schorske, p. 7; Lidtke, The Oudinoed Party, 299, For the SPD's Place in the politics of Wilhelmine Germany, see Frite Theodorium World Pawer or Decline (New York, 1974), pp. 5-6; Dieter Grob, Negative Integration und revolutionizer Altentismus (Frankfort, 1973), pp. 31–55, 757–682; Kontrad H., Jaraku, The Entigmatic Chanceller (New Haven, 1973), pp. 47–49, 89–91, 106–08, 208–09, 459–40 n. 27; George D. Crothers, The German Elections of 1907 (New York, 1941).

3. Kautsky to Engels (16 February, 6 April 1892), Engels, pp. 329, 336; Kautsky to Alfer (15 October 1892), Kautsky to Emma Adler (22 March 1895), Adler, pp. 106, 194; Kautsky to Luise (13 September 1896), KFA 35; Kautsky to Max Zetterbaum (30 October 1895), KFA 35; Kautsky to Max Zetterbaum (30 October 1895), KFA 35; Kautsky to Max Zetterbaum (30 October 1895).

1893), KFA 8; Kautsky to Paul Ernst (20 December 1893), KFA, 8.

Kautsky to Engels (21 December 1890, 5 April 1891, 19 February 1892), Engels, pp. 267, 291, 329, 453;
 Adler to Kautsky (10 Man), Kautsky (10 Man), Kautsky to Adler (13 December 1892), Adler, pp. 31, 114;
 Kautsky to Engels (27 December 1890, 5 April 1891, 19 February 1896)
 Adler, pp. 31, 114;
 Kautsky to Bernstein (2 February 1896)
 KA, C. Lifs

5. Kautsky to Engels (3 July 1890), Engels, p. 257; Kautsky to Luise (7 May 1896),

KFA 35.

 Kautsky to Engels (18 February 1891, 23 January 1892), Engels, pp. 278, 325;
 Kautsky to Luise (24 August 1891), KFA 35. The first child was named Felix; the third son, Benediki, was born in 1894.

7. Kautsky to Engels (25 November 1890, 5 April 1891, 19 May, 11 October 1893). Engels to Kautsky (7 April 1891, 3 November 1893). Engels, pp. 264, 291-92, 381, 388-91; Kautsky to Adler (13 October 1893), Adler, pp. 121-23; Kautsky to Luise (26 August 1893), KFA 25. Bruno Schoenlank (1859-1901) was the editor of the Léptique 7 Voluszalma (1893-1901), a coworker on the Veraura (1892), and an SPD Recibstag representative (1893-1901); Franz Mehring (1846-1919) was the most prolific of contributors to the New Zeit until his break with Kautsky in 1912-1913, Max Schippel (1859-1928) was at one time a young radical SPD journalist and later a rightist and a Reichstag representative (1890-1905). See Osterroth, pp. 219-20, 263-64, 267, 269-70.

8. Engels to Kautsky (4 December 1892), Kautsky to Engels (11 October 1893), Engels, pp. 373, 388-89; Kautsky to Adler (26 November 1890, 13 October 1893), Kautsky to Huso Heller (28 December 1895), Adler, pp. 65, 121-22, 195; Kautsky to Bernstein

(several letters from 4 May 1895 through 24 June 1896), KA, C107-38.

9. Engels to Kautsky (4 December 1892), Kautsky to Engels (19 December 1892).

9. Engels to Kautsky (4 December 1992), Kautsky to Engels (1) December 1992, Edebl. Percenter 1992, Kautsky to Engels, pp. 372-75; Kautsky to Bebel (end of November 1892), Bebel, pp. 80-81; Kautsky to Adler (13 October 1893), Adler, pp. 121-22; Kautsky to Bernstein (25 January 1896), KA, CI14.

10. Kautsky to Luise (26 August 1893), KFA 35; Kautsky to Engels (1 and 25 January 1994).

Kautsky to Luise (26 August 1895), KFA 35; Kautsky to Engels (1 and 22 November 1893, Engels, pp. 389-90, 395; Kautsky to Adler (15 October 1892, 13 October, 1 November 1895, 29 April 1894), Adler, pp. 107-08, 122-23, 125-26, 150.
 Kautsky to Engels (19 December 1892), Engels, pp. 373-75; Kautsky to Luise (2

 Kautsky to Engels (19 December 1892), Engels, pp. 373–75; Kautsky to Luise (2 August 1895), KFA 35.

 Kautsky to Luise (2 August 1895), KFA 35; Kautsky to Hugo Heller (10 January 1896), KFA 8; Protokoll, 1894, pp. 63–84. Tussy Marx (22 and 28 August, 17 September 1895, 3, 10, 20, and 29 February 180, Ka, D XVI, 437–39, 447, 449–51; Kautsky to Bernstein (25 January, 24 and 29 February, 19 and 31 March 1896), KA, C114, 118–20, 123; Kautsky to Hugo Heller (28 December 1895, 23 March 1896), Adler to Kautsky (27 March 1896), Adler, pp. 198, 905–07.

Kautsky to Luise (12 March, 28, 29, and 30 April, 7 May 1896), KFA 35; Kautsky to
 Bernstein (19 March 1896), KA, C120; Tussy Marx to Kautsky (1 April, 20 May 1896),
 AD, NYI, 452, 455; Kautsky to Adler (18 April 1896), Adler, pp. 203-04.

 Kautsky to Adler (13 June, 15 October 1892, 25 October 1901, 4 April 1903),
 Adler, pp. 92-93, 107-09, 375, 415-16. For a precise summary of Kautsky's view of the function of socialist intellectuals see "Akademiker und Protelarier", 7×I 92: (1900-01),
 89-91. For an earlier, more elaborate discussion, see "Die Intelligenz und die Sozial-demokratie", 7xl 37: 24 (1944-95), 10-16, 43-49, 74-80.

16. Kautsky to Engels. (9 April 1800, 25 November 1803), Engels, pp. 254, 395; Kautsky to Adler (13 October 1803), 21 November 1896), Adler, pp. 121, 222; Kautsky to Luise (7 and 9 October 1895, 16 September 1902), KFA 35; Polizeipräsident, Abteilung I. (Berlin) to Kautsky (14 January 1919), KFA 5. The items to Luise cited here were written while Kautsky was at party congresses; he almost always wrote this correspondence to Luise in English. I have edited these letters and postcards for punctuation, but not for grammar or vocabulary. The communication from the head of the local police announced that a payment of 150 marks had to be made before the application for citizenship could be processed. Kautsky did once begin the process of becoming a citizen while he was in Stuttgart, but it came to nothing. See Kautsky to Hugo Heller (31 March 1897), Adler, p. 230.

 Kautsky to Engels (25 November 1893), Engels, p. 395. In a letter to Engels of 19 May 1892 Kautsky reported that Dietz considered him an "incorrigible optimist." Engels, pp. 381-82.

18. Protokoll, 1887, p. 47; Protokoll, 1890, pp. 158-59, 181.

Kautsky to Engels (8 September 1890), Engels to Kautsky (18 September 1890, 7
 January, 23 February 1891), Engels, pp. 260-61, 268, 281-83.

20. Kautsky to Bernstein (8 January 1891), KA, C81.

 Kautsky to Engels (8 and 13 January 1891), Engels to Kautsky (15 January 1891), Engels, pp. 269-71.

22. Kautsky to Bernstein (29 January 1891), KA, C82.

23. Kautsky to Engels (9 and 18 February, [9 March] 1891), Engels to Kautsky (3 and 28 February 1891), Engels, pp. 272, 276, 278–88, 288–86. Kautsky's conciliatory article was "Unser Programme," NZ 91 (1890–91), 680–90; see also the first few pages of "Der Entwurf des neuen Parteiprogramms," NZ 92 (1890–91), 723–25.

24. Kautsky to Engels (6 February 1891), Engels, pp. 273-75.

Kautsky to Engels (4 June, 26 September 1891), Engels, pp. 299, 306; Kautsky to Adler (5 August 1891), Adler, p. 96; "Entwurf," NZ 9:2 (1890-91), 726-27, 730, 749-54, 781, 780-91.

26. "Entwurf," NZ 9:2 (1890-91), 750, 753-55.

27. Kautsky to Luise (15 October 1891), KFA 35; Kautsky to Engels (30 October 1891), Engels, p. 316; Protokoll, 1891, p. 81.

28. Kautsky to Luise (18 October 1891), KFA 35; Protokoll, 1891, pp. 12, 81, 325-33, 358.

Kautsky to Bernstein (9 October 1891), KA, C85; Engels to Kautsky (28 September, 14 October, 3 December 1891), Kautsky to Engels (30 October 1891), Engels, pp. 307-10, 312-15, 317.

30. Kautsky to Engels (3 March, 6 April 1892), Engels to Kautsky (5 March 1892),

Engels, pp. 331, 335; The Class Struggle (New York, 1971), p. 1. For some reason English translations of this book have usually taken their title from the fifth section, "The Class Struggle." This is inappropriate and unfortunate, because The Erfurt Program is much more than a discussion of the class struggle.

31. Class Struggle, pp. 9-87, 90, 122, 127-29, 132, 135-48, 158.

32. Ibid., 90-93, 159, 177, 186-88. The charge of cryptorevisionism was made by Matthias, "Kautsky," pp. 163-64, 168.

33. Tussy Marx to Kautsky (22 August 1895, 30 September, 7 October 1896), KA, D

XVI, 437, 457-58; Kautsky to Luise (2 May 1896), KFA 35. 34. Tussy Marx to Kautsky (17 September, 27 December 1895, 19 and 30 April, 20 May 1896), KA, D XVI, 439, 445, 453-55; Engels, p. 453; Blumenberg, p. 69. Kautsky had become close friends with Tussy during his 1880s stay in London, and their correspondence concerning the Nachlass is marked by warm friendship and affection. The image of Tussy that comes out of these letters is one of a bright but frustrated woman. Her frequent and touching allusions to the Kautsky children, whom she never met, and her eagerness to have Karl and his family come to London reveal a lonely woman who regretted her own childlessness. Tussy's pseudo-husband, Edward Aveling, was a shady, two-timing schemer who often begged money from Kautsky and many others. Eventually Karl made a virtually direct accusation that Aveling murdered Tussy. who died under strange circumstances in the spring of 1898. See Tussy to Kautsky (20 February, 18 September 1895, 10, 20, and 29 February, 30 September, 7 October 1896, 5 April 1897, 1 January 1898), KA, D XVI, 435, 440, 449-51, 457-58, 464-65, 483; Edward Aveling to Kautsky (5 December 1887, 20 January, 14 February 1888), KFA 2; Kautsky to Adler (9 April 1898), Adler, pp. 244-45. For Tussy's melancholy life story, see Tsuzuki, Eleanor Marx.

3.5. Roger P. Morgan, The German Social Democrate and the First International, 1864–1872 (Cambridge, 1965), pp. 19–20, and 29–30, Liebhnechr's association with the Sandra People's Party earned him Engels' soorn. Engels once referred to the "primitive middle-penamic of lower Saxon," and argued that the "narrow-minded South German, republican, petry-bourgeois notions systematically drummed into the heads of the workers by Leichan rotions. See Engels to Marx (20 July 1851), Engels to Kugelmann (10 July 1869), Marx-Engels (1960), pp. 66, 367.

36. "Die Bauern und der Sozialismus," Sozialist, 7, 10, 14, 17, 21, 24, 28 November 1878; "Die Agitation unter den Bauern, "Jahrbuch für Sozialusismuchaft und Sozialpolisht, 212 (1880), 14-5;" Die soziale Frage auf dem Lande, "Sauta: Ahand, (1879–1880), pp. 449-60. Presumably Kautsky wrote the Jahrbuch article before leaving Austria. EGE, p.

423.
37. Kautsky to Engels (23 July 1881), Engels, p. 35; "Die Zins-Sklaverei des deutschen Bauernthums," "Die Sozialdemokratie und des Bauernthum," "Die revolutionäre Kraft des amerikanischen Kornes," Sozialdemokrat, 16 May, 10 and 24 October 1880; "Italiens oekonomische Verhältnisse, "Nz. 1 (1883), 47-48.

oekonomische Verhältnisse, "NZ 1 (1883), 41-48.
38. For a discussion of the Bund der Landwirte, see Hans-Jürgen Puhle, Agrarische Interessenpolitik und preussischer Konservatismus (Hanover, 1966), and Sarah R. Tirrell, German Agrarian Politics After Bismarck's Fall (New York, 1951).

39. Protokoll, 1894, pp. 141-42, 145-46, 148, 157-58.

40. Kautsky to Bernstein (14 November 1894), KA, C102; Kautsky to Engels (14 and 23 November 1894), Engels, pp. 413, 415.

Various letters from Kautsky and Ledebour in the Forwarts, 20, 21, and 25
 November, 19 December 1894; Kautsky to Hugo Heller (22 December 1894), Bebel, p.
 Kautsky, "Das Effurter Programm und die Landagitation," NZ 13:1 (1894–95).

278; Osterroth, pp. 183-84. See Bebel, pp. 87-90, for Kautsky's letters to the party central committee protesting Ledebour's assault and the efforts of the Fraktion to prevent Kautsky from defending himself in the Vornärts.

42. Kautsky to Bernstein (14 November 1894), KA, C102; Kautsky to Luise (7 October 1895), KFA 35; Kautsky to Engels (14 November 1894, 30 July 1895), Engels, pp. 413, 443–44; Kautsky to Hugo Heller (6 August 1895), Bebel, p. xxiii; Singer to Adler (26 November 1894), Adler, p. 163.

43. "Das Erfurter Programm," NZ 13:1 (1894-95), 280: "Unser neuestes Programm," NZ 13:2 (1894-95), 612-20: "Die Konkurrenzfähigkeit des Kleinbetriebes in der Landwirtschaft," NZ 13:2 (1894-95), 485-86, 491.

44. "Uner neuestes Frogramm." NZ 13:2 (1894–95), 559-65, 586-94, 610-13, 621; "Noch einige Bemerkungen zum Agrarprogramm." NZ 13:2 (1894–95), 812; "Arbeiterschutz und Bauermechutz." NZ 14:1 (1895–96), 19-21, For a discussion of state socialism, see Kaustsy. "Vollmar und eer Staatssorialismus." NZ 10:2 (1891–92), 2705–13, and "Der Parteitag und der Staatssorialismus." NZ 11:1 (1892–93), 210-21; and Lütke, "German Social Democracy." For a more detailed discussion of democracy versus dicatorship of the proletariat, see Kaustsy. "Die direkte Gesetzgebung durch das Volk und der Klassenkamnf." NZ 11:2 (1892–93), 516-27.

 Kautsky to Luise (30 September 1895), KFA 35; "Unser neuestes Program," NZ 13:2 (1894–95), 588–59, 613, 616–19, 623; "Noch einige Bemerkungen," NZ 13:2 (1894–95), 812.

Protokoll, 1895, pp. 100-01, 104-05; Kautsky to Luise (9 October 1895), KFA 35.
 The entire debate covers pages 98-176 in the Protokoll.

47. Protokol. [1895, pp. 109-10, 112-14, 117-19, 121, 124, 126-27. Clara Zetkin islogave a long speech in support of Kaushvis' resolution, and she closed with a stirring call for the party to reject the agrarian program and thereby "hold firmly to the revolutionary character of our party" [p. 143]. Zetkin's speech met with stormy, protokously applause. The popularity of her position was reflected in the fact that at this congress she was elected to the narry control commission for the first time.

48. Protokoll., 1895, pp. 176-77; Kautsky, "Der Breslauer Parteitag und die Agrarfrage," NZ 14;1(1895-96), 108-13; Bebel to Adler (20 October 1895), Adler, pp. 193-95.

49. Kautsky, Die Agardrage (Stuttgart, 1899); David, Secialismus und Landwirtschaft (Berlin, 1903); Kautsky, Socialismus und Landwirtschaft, "N. 21: (1902-03), 677-88, 731-55, 745-58, 781-94, 804-19. Hans G. Lehmann, Die Agrafrage in der Theorie und Praxis der deutsche und internationale Socialidementae (Tübingen, 1970), pp. 115-202, discusses the agrarian question in Germany. I disagree with Lehmann's conclusion that Kautsky was responsible for the failure of the SPD to come up with a good agrarian program. Lehmann overrates the influence of both Kautsky and theory. Heinrich Dade, the landwirtschaftliche Bereißberung die dautschen Reichs um die Wende des 19. Jahrhude (Berlin, 1903), pp. 56-57, discusses the 1882 and 1895 census figures. Hellmut Hesselbarth, "Der aufkommende Revisionismus in der Bauterfrage und Karl Kautsky," Marximus und destuche Arbeiterbusegung (Berlin, 1970), pp. 365-97, is an excellent discussion of Kautsky on the aggrarian question, On the south German party, see Paul Frölich, "Die süddeutsch Fronde," in Rosa Luxemburg, Gesammelte Werke (Berlin, 1925), 113, 399-407.

50. Protokoll, 1893, pp. 253-69; Kautsky to Engels (11 October 1893), Engels, p. 388; Kautsky to Adler (5 May 1894), Adler, pp. 152-54. Bernstein's position in 1893 was presented in "Die preussischen Landtagswahlen und die Sozialdemokratic," NZ 11:2 (1892-93), 772-78.

51. "Umsturzgesetz und Landtagswahlen in Preussen," NZ 15:2 (1896-97), 275-82;

"Die preussischen Landtagswahlen und die reaktionäre Masse," NZ 15:2 (1896-97), 580-90.

52. "Die preussischen Landtagswahlen." NZ 15:2 (1896-97), 586.

53. "Was ist ein Kompromiss? Nachlese zur Diskussion über die preussischen Landtagswahlen," NZ 16:2 (1897-98), 356-62; Protokoll, 1897, pp. 168, 217; Bebel to Adler (5 June 1897), Adler, pp. 231-32. 54. For the impact of the Dreyfus and Millerand affairs on international socialism, see

Joll, Second International, pp. 83-99, and Braunthal, pp. 255-74.

55. "Jaures' Taktik und die deutsche Sozialdemokratie," Vorwarts, 26 July 1899; "Jaures und Millerand," Vorwarts, 1 August 1899; "Eine internationale Umfrage über sozialdemokratische Taktik," Voruärts, 5 October 1899.

56. Compte rendu sténographique non officiel du cinquieme Congrès socialiste international tenu à Paris du 23 au 27 septembre 1900 (Paris, n.d.), pp. 99-170; "Die sozialistischen Kongresse und der sozialistische Minister," NZ 19:1 (1900-01), 36-44; "Bürgermeister und Minister," NZ 19:2 (1900-01), 794-96; Engels, p. 454.

57. Eduard Bernstein, "Probleme des Sozialismus," NZ 15:1 (1896-97), 164-71, 204-12, 303-11, 772-83; NZ 15:2 (1896-97), 100-07, 138-43; NZ 16:2 (1897-98),

225-32, 388-95.

- 58. Kautsky, "Die materialistische Geschichtsauffassung und der psychologische Antrieb," NZ 14:2 (1895-96), 652-59; Kautsky, "Was will und kann die materialistische Geschichtsauffassung leisten?" NZ 15:1 (1896-97), 213-18, 228-38, 260-71; Kautsky, "Utopistischer und materialistischer Marxismus," NZ 15:1 (1896-97), 716-27; Bax, "Synthetische contra Neumarxistische Geschichtsauffassung," NZ 15:1 (1896-97), 171-77; Bax, "Die Grenzen der materialistischen Geschichtsauffassung," NZ 15:1 (1896-97), 676-87.
- 59. The standard English treatment of Bernstein is Gay, Dilemma. This work dignified the intellectual content of revisionism beyond deserved limits. Gerhard A. Ritter, Die Arbeiterbewegung im Wilhelminischen Reich, 1890-1900 (Berlin, 1963), called Bernstein's work "Illusionismus," and claimed that the furor over revisionism had little to do with its theoretical content. Calling the latter a "feuilletonistischen popularization of the bourgeois critique of Marxism," Ritter argued that revisionism attracted so much attention simply because it was the first internal critique of Marxism. See Ritter, pp. 196-204, especially p. 197, n.129, where he suggested that Gay misinterpreted revisionism.

60. Protokoll, 1899, pp. 94-244; Protokoll, 1903, pp. 298-420.

61. Protokoll, 1898, pp. 126-30. The Kautsky-Bernstein debate was conducted in the Neue Zeit, the Vorwarts, the Sozialistische Monatshefte (Bernstein only), and in one book by each man, Bernstein's Die Voraussetzungen des Sozialismus und die Aufgaben der Sozialdemokratie (1899) and Kautsky's Bernstein und das sozialdemokratische Programm: Eine Antikritik (1899). For a particularly straightforward presentation of Kautsky's view of theory, see "Missverständnisse über Missverständnisse," Vorwärts, 29 May 1901.

62. Kautsky to Bernstein (30 August 1897), KA, C175 (cited in Holzheuer, Karl Kautskys Werk, pp. 68-69).

63. Patriotismus und Sozialismus (Leipzig, 1907), p. 10.

64. Kautsky to Luise (1 May 1896), KFA 35; Kautsky to Bernstein (30 August 1897, 13 and 26 February 1898), KA, C175, 180-81; Adler to Kautsky (9 November 1896), Adler, p. 219: Protokoll. 1898, pp. 122-25. For Plekhanov's active role in the revisionism dispute, see Samuel H. Baron, Plekhanov: The Father of Russian Marxism (Stanford, 1963), pp. 164-85; for Luxemburg's role, see Nettl, Luxemburg, 1, 202-50. Kautsky later remarked that his first perception of Bernstein's antirevolutionary inclinations came late in 1897 from Bernstein's "Menge und das Verbrachen," NZ 16:1 (1897-98), 229-37. See Kautsky to Adler (21 May 1899), Adler, p. 303. Actually Kautsky's first printed criticism of Bernstein was a brief article entitled "Taktik und Grundsätze," Vorwirts, 13 October 1808

65. Kautsky to Luise (9 March 1898), Bebel to Kautsky (9 and 24 September 1898), Kautsky to Hugo Heller (22 September 1898), Bebel, pp. xxxii-xxxiii, 110-11; Tusy Marc to Kautsky (15 March 1898), KA, DXYI, 489; Kautsky to Bernstein (26-27 May 1898), KA, C191; Adler to Kautsky (4 April 1898), Kautsky to Adler (9 April 4 August 1898), Bebel to Adler (29 September 1898), Adler, pp. 242-43, 245-46, 249, 252.

66. Kautsky to Bernstein (23 October 1898), KA, C209 (reprinted in Adler, pp. 272-73), Gay, Dilenma, pp. 79-80, found Kautsky's socion at this time "strange if not insister." I think Kautsky's actions were those of a man who felt he was losing a dear and trusted friend. His first concern was saving Bernstein from personal anguish and disgrace, and there is nothing sinster in that. Socialistics Manathyfe was the major organ of revisionists and reformists in Germany; Revue socialiste played a similar role in France.

67. Adler to Bebel (1 November 1898), Bebel to Adler (4 November 1898), Kautsky to Adler (4 November, 23 December 1898), Adler, pp. 266–71, 281–82.

 Kautsky to Adler (23 December 1898, 7, 8, 17 March 1899), Bernstein to Adler (3 March 1899), Adler to Kautsky (7 March 1899), Bebel to Adler (8 April 1899), Adler, pp. 281–82, 287, 291–95, 301, 307–08.

 Adler to Kautsky (16 March 1899), Adler to Bernstein (17 March 1899), Adler, pp. 296-97, 299.

70. Kautsky to Adler (17 and 21 March 1899), Adler, pp. 301, 303; "Bernsteins Streitschrift: Die Zusammenbruchstheorie: Liberalismus und Sozialismus; Demokratie und Klassenkampf," Forwärz, 16, 17, and 18 March 1899. Kautsky continued his critique with "Nochmals Bernsteins Streitschrift: Die neueste Lesart der Marschen Zusamensbruchtheorie: Die demokratische-sozialistische Reformpartei; Unsere Taktik," Forwärz, 8, 11, and 12 April 1899; "Prinzipieller Gegensatz oder Voreingenommenheit? Noch ein Wort zur Diskussion mit Bernstein." Permärz, 26 April 1899.

71. Bebel to Kautsky (22 March, 3 August 1899), Bebel to Bernstein (3 August 1899), Bebel, pp. 113, 115, 118; Bernstein to Adler (28 March 1899), Bebel to Adler (8 April

1899), Kautsky to Adler (10 April 1899), Adler, pp. 306-09, \$12.
2. Bebel to Kautsky (3, 9, 11, 13, and 22 September 1899), Bebel, pp. 118-24;
Kautsky to Bernstein (10 February 1900), KA, C242; XZ 18:2 (1899-1900), 160.

73. Kautsky to Adler (25 September 1900), Bebel, xxxvi; Bebel to Adler (8 July 1901), Kautsky to Adler (31 May, 5 and 6 June, 9 September 1901), Adler, pp. 352, 355, 357, 359, 366–67.

74. Bebel to Kautsky (25 October 1901, 9 September 1903), Bebel, pp. 143, 161-62; Kautsky to Adler (9 September, 15 November 1901, 18 October 1904), Adler, pp. 367, 377, 434. In the spring of 1903, Bebel also urged Kautsky to be more critical of David's book on the agrarian question. Kautsky to Adler (4 April 1903), Adler, p. 415.

75. Kautsky to Adler (5 June 1901, 18 October 1904), Adler, pp. 356-57, 432-33.

76. Kautsky to Adler (18 October 1904), Adler, pp. 432-33.

77. "Der Münchener Parteitag," NZ 20;2 (1901-02), 804-09. "Was nun?" NZ 21;2 (1902-03), 899.8. "Klasseninterses- Sondrinteresse- Sondrinteresse- Sondrinteresse- Nac (1902-03), 240-45, 261-74; "Literarische Rundschau," review of Paul Kampffmever, Wohnt steuert die obnominier und stanliche Entwicklung*, Varaüts, 5. May 1901; "Web Wahlkampf und die Aufgaben des kommenden Reichstages," Voruürts, 30 May, 3 June 1903.

78. "Zum Parteitag," NZ 21:2 (1902-03), 729-39; "Die preussischen Landtagswahlen," NZ 22:1 (1903-04), 225-31; "Wahlkreis und Partei," NZ 22:2 (1903-04), 36-46.

NOTES TO PAGES 129-36 . 273

79. "Allerhand Revolutionäres, "NZ 22:1 (1903–04), 588-98, 620-27, 652-57, 685-95, 732–40.

80. Joll, Second International, pp. 100-06; Braunthal, History, pp. 276-84.

81. Kautsky to Adler (18 October 1904), Adler, pp. 431-35; Sixime congrès socialist international: Compte rendu analytique (Brussels, 1904), pp. 130-208. Jol's account of the disagreement at Amsterdam includes the observation that Kautsky was "a fanatic who did not believe in compromise." (p. 102). This is an example of the typically extremist evaluations that historians have made of Kautsky. Like most sude evaluations, this one is less than judicious. Apparently others in the German party also saw Adler as a "secret" revisionist, including Clara Zektin. See Bebel to Kautsky (29 August) 1903, Bebel, p. 157.

82. The Socialist Revolution (Chicago, 1905); Kautsky to Adler (21 November 1901, 28 January 1903), Adler, pp. 381–82, 412.

83. Kautsky to Adler (4 April 1903), Adler, pp. 416-17.

Chapter Five: Challenge from the Left, 1905-1914

 For a complete account of these affairs, see Sidney Harcave, First Blood: The Russian Revolution of 1905 (New York, 1964).

 For an excellent brief discussion of the SPD and the Russian revolution of 1905, as well as the mass-strike debate, see Schorske, pp. 29-58. See also Richard W. Reichard, "The German Working Class and the Russian Revolution of 1905," Journal of Central

European Affair. 13 (1953), 136–53.
3. Kautsky to Adler (15 October 1892, 5 June 1901), Adler, pp. 105, 354; Kautsky to Plekhanov (6 October 1904), KA, C525. References to Russia appear in numerous works by Kautsky before 1905, beginning as early as "Deutschland und Russland," Der Seinfist, 15 November 1877.

4. Kautsky's relationship with Axelrod has been masterfully dealt with by Abralam Axcher, "Axelrod and Kautsky's Slanic Review 66 (1967), 94-112, For Plekhanov, see Baron, Plekhanov, pp. 1-46, 124-25, 174-76, 225. For Kautsky's praise of Plekhanov, see Baron, Plekhanov, pp. 1-46, 124-25, 174-76, 225. For Kautsky's Praise of Plekhanov, see Rautsky to Engels (15 February 1884, 7 December 1891), Engels, p. 98, 319; Kautsky to Adler (26 January 1893), Adler, p. 118. Kautsky's Claus Struggle apparently came out in Russian, in the Review of the North, almost immediately after publication in German, though Blumenburg did not refer to this translation. See Engels to Kautsky (15 September 1889), Engels, p. 245.

5. Kuatsky (to Diete probably 1902), Bebel, p. slii, Luxemburg's life is dealt with impressively by J. P. Nettl in an outstanding biography. However, Nettl's treatment of Kautsky is not up to the high standard of the rest of his work, and his discussion of the Kautsky Luxemburg relationship is one-sided. For a taste of Luxemburg's contacts with the Kautsky Luxemburg's contacts with the Kautsky family, and for examples of her frequently charming and disarming personal style, see her Letters to Kard and Luis Kautsky form 1896 in 1918. (New York, 1925).

6. "Die zivilisierte Welt und der Zar," NZ 23:1 (1904–05), 614–17.

7. Anon., "Die Politik der russischen Regierung," Forwärts, 10 February 1905; Kautsky, "Die Bauern und die Revolution in Russland," NZ 23:1 (1904-05), 670-77.

8. "Die Bauern und die Revolution," NZ 23:1 (1904-05), 670-77.

9. "Die Agrarfrage in Russland." NZ 241: 1 (1905-06), 412-23. Kaursky also forcefully argued this analysis in "Die Folgen die sjapanischen Sieges und die Sozialdemokratie." NZ 23:2 (1904-05), 406-08. In this article he agreed with Luxemburg's comparison of Gleb Struve, a leading Russian socialist-turned-liberal, with Jauries. Kautsky's popularity in Russian socialist circles at this time is amply testified to by the fact that no less than five different editions of Dae Erfuter Programm were published in Russa in 1905-two in Moscow, one each in Kiev, Odessa, and St. Petersburg, and another appeared in St.

Petersburg in 1906. For Kautsky's postmortem on the revolution, see "Triebkräfte und Aussichten der russischen Revolution," NZ 25:1 (1906–07), 284–90, 324–33, in which he reiterated his earlier analysis.

"Die Differenzen unter den russischen Sozialisten," NZ 23:2 (1904–05), 68-69;
 Kautsky to Engels (8 November 1881), Engels to Kautsky (7 February 1882), Engels, pp.

46-48, 50-53.

11. Kautsky to Michael Lusnia, one of several pseudonyms used by Kelles-Krauz, (4 February 1905), KFA 8. A year after this letter, Kautsky received a request frow a Warsaw publisher to print a total of 42,500 copies of seven of his books. Apparently Rautsky's popularity van high in Poland at this time. See Towarzystow Oydawnietve Ludowych to Kautsky (28 March 1906), KFA 4, For Kautsky's views on Poland vis-à-vis Russia, see "Alferhand Revolutionisters," JZ 22:1 (1903-04), (262).

12. "Differenzen," NZ 23:2 (1904–05), 69-71; Kaustsy to Adler (20 July 1905), Adler, pp. 464–66. For a more detailed discussion of Kaustsy and the Menherik-Bolsherik split, see Ascher, "Axelrod and Kaustsy," Dietrich Geyer, "Die russische Parteispaltung im Urteil der deutschen Sozialdemokratie, 1903–1903," IRSH 3 (1988), 195–219.
184–84; Peter Lösche, Der Bolsherismus im Urteil der daustehn Sozialdemokratie, 1903–1920 (Berlin, 1967), pp. 27–34. Kaustsy remained in the midst of this split for far longer than hiked, because he was one of the administrators of the famous Schmidt inheritance of

the Russian party. See Lösche, pp. 60-66. 13. "Differenzen," NZ 23:2 (1904-05), 71-79.

13. Differencein, 22.22 (2004-200), 11-79.
14. Hilferding, "Zur Frage des Generalstreiks," NZ 22:1 (1903-04), 134-42. This volume also had five other articles on the general strike, including a five-part series by Kausky, "Allerhand Revolutionisers," 588-96, 802-27, 652-57, 685-95, 732-40, but none of this had aroused major polemics. The 1904 resolution was presented by Henriette Roland-Holst, and it was only a very cautious expansion of the acceptable limits of the mass strike as a weapon of the working class. See Braunthal, History, pp. 285-304, for a discussion of the general strike and the Second International.

15. Schorske, pp. 29–32. For a contemporary discussion of the employers' associations,

see Gerhard Kessler, Die deutschen Arbeitgeberverbände (Leipzig, 1907).

16. The literature on the tendency of both trade-union and party leadership to become more conservative is vast. A contemporary observer of the SPD wrote insight-fully on the topic, Robert Michels, Zur Suislage des Parteiusens in der modernen Demolaruie (Leipzig, 1910). Most studies of the German socialist movement have emphasized this tendency to a greater or lesser extent. See especially Schorske, pp. 8-16. Of course, Kautsky also discussed the tendency to a given and self-preservation among bureaurcaics. See chap. 3 above, "Literary Work of the 1880s."

17. For Luxemburg, see Nettl, Luxemburg, 1, 295-364. I am not suggesting, as does Schorske, that the radical-moderate spin as it developed after 1905 was the problem to and cause of the "great schisim" that led to the formation of the German Communist Party. I agree with Dieter Groß is conclusion, in Nyaginie Integration, pp. 121-25, 163-85, 482-502, that the war was the source of the schism. It is true that radical-moderate tensions reached new highs after 1905 and persisted until after the war, but a person's position during these years was not a good indication of his or her stand on the war. In a more immediate seense, the cause of the eventual split was a question of party discipline, with the majority forcing the minority to a split. Susanne Miller, Burgfrieder und Klausnhamff (Disseldorf, 1974), pp. 155-56, makes this argument most persuassively.

One exception to the radicals lack of institutional responsibilities was Clara Zetkin. She was a member of the party control commission after 1905, and she usually aligned herself with the radicals.

18. Centrism was Kausts's own label for his post-1905 theory. Fainlinnus was used by Steinberg, but has implications that are too negative to fit Kausts'y sposition. Matthias labeled Kaustsky's theory Integrationideologie, but this expression is neither accurate nor useful, since neither the revisionists nor the radicals accepted Kaustsky's positions. Groil's revolutionare affantismus is perhatismus its work in the state of the s

Braunthal, Hitton, p. 287; Kautsky to Engels (25 November 1893), Engels, p. 394;
 Kautsky to Adler (26 November 1893, 9 March 1894), Adler, pp. 129-30, 136-37;
 "Allerhand Revolutionäres," NZ 22:1 (1903-04), 685-95, 732-40.

20. Adler to Kautsky (17 May 1902), Assayl, 20. Adler, pp. 395-96. The articles that upper Adler serves, on Adler (11 May 1902), Adler, pp. 395-96. The articles that upper Adler serves, by the articles that upper Adler serves, and the articles that upper Adler serves, and the articles that upper the articles that upper the articles that the articles that articles that articles that articles are disciplinated to Luxemburg and Mehring, though missay, in the atter's article for an editorial piece, in "Nochmask das belgische Experiment," NZ 00:2 (1901-02), 166-68, to which Kautsky attached a note defending Mehring's tone, and Luxemburg rebutted Vandervelde in "Und zum dritten Male das belgische Experiment," NZ 00:2 (1901-02), 203-10, 274-80. This exchange typifies the role of the New Zett as a forum for international socialist debate.

21. Kautsky to Adler (19 May 1902), Adler, pp. 396-97.

21. Kautsky to Adler (19 May 1992), Adler, pp. 396–97.

22. Adler to Kautsky (21 May 1902), Kautsky to Adler (22 May 1902), Adler, pp. 399–402. In his biography of Luxemburg, Nettl accussed Kautsky of plagiarzing the idea of impatience on the right and on the left from a 1910 Trossky letter (1, 435–34). The 1902 quote I have given here clearly shows that Nettl's accusation is false. Kautsky had developed this notion long before 1910. This episode points out one of the few sections of Nettl's otherwise scale of the properties of Nettl's otherwise scale of Nettle and adopt a his own-particularly in terms of personality. Der Karl Kautsky, Jr., has pointed out other errors in Nettl's treatment of Kautsky in a letter appended to the German edition of the Laxemburg biography (Berlin 1967), no. 830–930.

23. Kautsky to Adler (9 June 1902), Adler, pp. 404-05.

24. Herriette Roland-Holst, Generaltreis und Sexialdenubratie (1905; pp. Dredden, 1906); Schorske, pp. 39-42. In theoretical terms the revisionism controversy was far more important than the mass-strike debate, but the latter more clearly revealed contradictions and had a real impact on relations between trade union and party. In part because it focuses on Kaustky, my discussion of the mass-strike conflict differs substantially from Schorske's, who identified Kaustky too glibby with the "radical" position. As will be seen below, Kaustky's position was radical and not-radical at the same time.

 Kautsky to Adler (20 July 1905), Adler, p. 464; Kautsky, "Genossin Luxemburg über die Gewerkschaften," Voruairs, 18 April 1906, and "Die Genossin Luxemburg und die Gewerkschaften," Voruairs, 5 May 1906.

26. Anon., "Über politischen Streik," Vorwärts, 25 June 1905.

27. Ibid.

28. "Die Folgen des japanischen Sieges," NZ 23:2 (1904-05), 494-95.

29. Ibid., pp. 495-99. The party's success in runoff elections declined steadily from a

high of 63 percent (15 of 24) in 1884 to a low of 16 percent (14 of 90) in 1907. The 1912 election reversed this trend when the party won 38 percent (46 of 121). See Matthias and Pikart, Reichstagfinktion, p. xxiii.

30. "Folgen," NZ 23:2 (1904-05), 493-94. Gay's identification of Kautsky with the pro-mass-strike forces (Dilemma, p. 239) is incorrect.

31. Anon., "Unmögliche Diskussion," Verwärt, 19 July 1905; "Ein Hausen Unrichtigkeiten," Fravärt, 25, de July 1905; "Debatten über Wenn und Aber, "Farvärt, 25, de Jungstein 1905; Kautsky, "Die Fortsetzung einer unmögliche Diskusion,"
Fravärt, 1 September 1905, and NZ 2832 (1904-05), 681-92; "Noch einmal die
unmögliche Diskussion." Fravärt, 15 September 1905 (with critical footnotes by the
Fravärt editors), and NZ 2832 (1904-05), 776-85; "Zu den Farteidebatten. Richtigsteillung," Fravärt, 16 September 1905; "Der mögliche Abschluss einer unmögliche
Diskussion," NZ 2832 (1904-05), 795-804. For Kautsky on the trade unions, see "Fartei
und Gewerkschaften," NZ 1812 (1899-1900), 388-94, 429-33. "Der Neutralisierung der
Gewerkschaften," NZ 1812 (1899-1900), 388-94, 429-33, 457-66, 492-97; "Die Lehren
des Bergarbeitersteils," NZ 2812 (1904-05), 729-82; "Der Kongress von Kolin," NZ 2832 (1904-05), 309-16; "Partei und Gewerkschaft," NZ 2432 (1905-06), 716-25, 749-54.
The trade unionsits 'charges againt Kautsky were repeated in this last article.

32. Protokoll, 1905, pp. 142-43, 285-313. Bebel's speech took the entire morning

session.

33. Ibid., pp. 314-42. 34. Schorske, pp. 54-59.

35. Protokoll, 1906, pp. 131-32, 232-33, 239-40, 304-05.

36. Ibid., pp. 143, 256-62, 305-07.

37. "Allerhand Revolutionares," NZ 22:1 (1903-04), 620-27, 652-57.

38. Friedrich Stampfer, "Wahlrechtsbewegung und Massenstreik," NZ 24:2 (1905– 06), 755–58; Kautsky, "Der mögliche Abschluss," NZ 23:2 (1904–05), 796–97, and "Grundsätz oder Pläne?," NZ 42:2 (1905–06), 781–82. The Verwärts quote is from "Debatten über Wenn und Aber. V.," 9 September 1905.

 Kautsky to Adler (2 August 1905), Adler, pp. 466-67; "Zum Parteitag," NZ 23:2 (1904-05), 753-55.

40. For the reasons I have given in this paragraph, I do not agree with Dieter Groh's contenion (Negative Integration, p. 191) that "the knowledge must have been bitter" for Kautsky that the masses were only converted by practice and not theory, and that if the German government were to adopt reformist tactics "à la Lloyd George or Waldesk-Rousseau" the revisionists would gain the upper hand (quoting from Kautsky to Rappaport [8] June 1911, KA, C571). My argument is that Kautsky would have been a reformist himself if the German government had been a responsive one. After the German revolution Kautsky said as much in a letter to his son Benedikt (31 July 1919). Bebel, pp. xxix-sal.

 Kautsky to Adler (5 September 1892), Adler, p. 97. For Adler's economic problems, see Julius Braunthal, Victor und Friedrich Adler (Vienna, 1965), pp. 32–33, 90–100.

42. Benedikt Kautsky, "Karl Kautsky: Persönliche Erimerungen an meinen Vater," Arbeiterhalmder, 1954 (Vienna), pp. 104-05; Kautsky to Bebel (18 July 1913), Bebel, pp. 353-54. Apparently the more spirited Rosa Luxemburg found the Sunday afternoon gatherings somewhat disgusting, as she began to pull away from Kautsky; see Nettl, Luxemburg. 1, 410.

43. Kautsky to Luise (28 October 1893, 13 February 1911), KFA, 35 and 36.

44. B. Kautsky, "Persönliche," p. 109; Kautsky to Luise (17 July 1910), KFA 36; Luxemburg, Letters, pp. 137-39, 154-55, 227-30. Luise Kautsky also wrote a memorial to Luxemburg. Rosa Luxemburg: Ein Gedankbuch (Berlin, 1929). I have relied upon information provided to me in personal interviews with Dr. Karl Kautsky, Jr. Nettl's account of the Rautsky's relationship is often highly speculative and rarely substantiated. See Luxemburg, especially 1, 410–12.

45. Kautsky to Luise (13, 17 March 1907, 19 April 1910), KFA 35 and 36; Luxemburg, Letters, pp. 137-38.

46. Bebel to Kautsky (14 July, 5 August 1910, 8, 9, 13 October 1912, 16 July 1913), Rautsky to Bebel (18 July 1913), Bebel to Luise (4 September 1910), Bebel, pp. 220-21, 226, 229-31, 313-14, 317-38, 351-53; Bebel to Adler (12 January 1912), Kautsky to Adler (28 November 1914), Adler, pp. 545, 605. Kautsky's comments on Hildebrand are "Ein Ketergerich," and his review of Hildebrand's book, Sacialistische Auslandspolitis, NZ, 311 (1912-13), 1-6, 36-38, respectively. For the critique of Mehring, see "Ein Vertrauensmann," NZ 912 (1912-13), 600-02, For the explanation of Dr. Karf Kautsky, Jr., as to why his father was sometimes called "the Grand Inquisitor" and the "party pone," see Bebel, p. xy.

47. "Die Situation des Reiches," NZ 25:1 (1906-07), 453-57, 459-60, 486-87, 497-98. See also "Ausländische und deutsche Parteitaktik," NZ 25:1 (1906-07), 724-31, 764-73, where Kautsky elaborated his notion that in the wake of the 1907 election, it was even

clearer that the bourgeoisie and the workers could not cooperate in Germany.

48. Crothers, German Elections, pp. 129–48, 208–53. For the SPD and the election, see Schorske, pp. 59–66.

49. Crothers, German Elections, pp. 175-78; Matthias and Pikart, Reichstagfraktion, p. xv. Most of the calculations in this paragraph are my own.

50. "Der 25. Januar," NZ 25:1 (1906-07), 587-96.

51. Kautsky, Forlinger des neueren Sozialismus, 2 vols. (Berlin, 1923), published in English, less the first 160 pages of volume one, as Communium in Central Europe in the Time of the Reformation (New York, 1959); Kautsky to Engels (5 March 1895), Engels to Kautsky (21 May 1895), Engels, pp. 422–23, 435; Tussy Marx to Kautsky (20 February 1895), KA, DNVI. Engels study was reprinted in The German Revolution (Chicago, 1967); in 1891 Blos edited a new edition of the classic by Wilhelm Zimmermann, Geschichte der grossen Beuershitze, Which first appeared in three volumes in 1841–1843; Belforts-Bax's work was The Peaisant War in Germary (London, 1899); and Bebel wrote Der Desluche Bauernkritg (Braunschweig, 1876).

52. Kautsky, Communion in Central Europe, pp. 12, 15, 127, 214-15, 220-21. Abraham Friesen, "The Marxist Interpretation of the Reformation" (Ph.D. diss., Stanford University, 1967) has dealt exhaustively with the works of Zimmermann, Engels, Kautsky, and others. Though Friesen is weak on Marxism, and often confuses object evaluation with debatable epistemological-philosophical positions, his review of the historiography of the tradition is sound. For Kaussky's place in its, see pp. 65-69, 220, 223-259, 324-26, 336-57, 384, 390-94, 397, 470-74, 486-87, 560-65, 576-79, and 634.

53. Foundations of Christianity (New York, 1953), pp. xi-xiii, originally published as Der Ursprung des Christentum (Stuttgart, 1908). This book was one of Kautsky's big money-makers, going through at least thireen editions in German. For an example of the sort of criticism Kautsky was confronting, see A. K., "Der sogenannte urchristliche Kommunismus," NZ 926: (1907–08), 852–91.

54. Foundations, pp. xx, 25-59, 138, 146, 253.

55. Ibid., pp. xv, 47-48, 229-48, 250, 258, 264-65, 274, 278. Though this work is now rarely cited in scholarly works on Christianity, it does on occasion appear in the footnotes of studies that attempt to analyse societies in terms of socioeconomic substructures. For an example of this, see Bernard Magubane, "A Critical Look at Indices Used in the Study

of Social Change in Colonial Africa," Current Anthropology 12:4-5 (October-December 1971), 419-30. Foundations is cited on p. 426, where Magubane uses it to substantiate his observation that "social tendencies of a people, therefore, always arise from actual needs within the people, and not through mere imitation of foreign models."

56. Schorske, pp. 88–115. Netil (Luxemburg, I, 408–09) made a particular point of Kautsky's verbal radicalism in Der Weg zur Macht. For an earlier discussion of budget nonsupport, see Kautsky, 'Die Budgetbewilligung', 'X2 26:2 (1907–08), 809–26.

57. The articles in the New Zeit began on 6 October 1998 with Rausts's "Maurenbrecher und das Budger," NZ, 27:1 (1908-09), 44-50, and continued with Maurenbrecher, "Offener Brief and enfonsoen Kausts," pp. 148-53, ending with Kausts's, "Reform und Revolution: Eine Antworf," pp. 180-91, 220-32, 232-59. A great deal of correspondence concerning the second edition of Der Weg was reprinted in Ursula Ratz, ed., "Briefe zum Erscheinen von Karl Kaustskys 'Weg zur Macht," "IRSH 128: (1967). 323-77, See also Bebel, pp. 202-03, for another important letter from Zeikin to Kaustsky. For evidence of the speed and breadth of the circulation of Kaustsky's work, see Kaustsky to Luise (28 Petruary 1999). KPA 35.

58. The Road to Power (Chicago, 1909), pp. 11-12, 30, 54-55; Bebel to Adler (6 March 1909), Adler, p. 495.

59. Road, pp. 25, 27, 30, 45-46, 66, 70-72.

Kautsky to Hugo Haase (14, 19, and 25 February 1909), in Ratz, "Briefe," pp. 438,
 449. Kautsky to Adler (7 and 9 March 1909), Adler, pp. 496–98; Bebel to Kautsky (2 March 1909), Bebel, np. 202-03. Kautsky to Luise (28 February 1909), FFA 315.

61. Kautsky to Adler (26 September 1909), Adler, pp. 501-02.

62. For conflicting discussions of the effects on the SPD of the break up of the Bülow bloc, see Schorske, pp. 146–96, and Groh, Negative Integration, pp. 121–185.

Nettl, Luxemburg, I., 416–34: Kautsky, "Eine neue Strategie," NZ 28:2 (1909–10).
 335–36. Dr. Karl Kautsky, Jr., felt very strongly that Luxemburg had used her friendship with his mother to attack his father. See Bebel, pp. xlv and 192 (letter 139 n.1).

64. Kautsky's part in the debate consisted of the following articles in the Neur Zeti 28-22 (1909-10): "Was num?" pp. 33-40, 68-80. "Eine neue Strategie," pp. 332-41, 514-412-21, "Zwischen Baden und Luxemburg," pp. 652-67, and "Schlusswort," pp. 760-65. Luxemburg's first article, "Was weiter?" appeared in the Dortmunder Arbeitzentung, 15 March 1910; to the above issue of the Noue Zeti she contributed "Ermattung oder Kampf?" pp. 257-66, 291-395, "Die Theorie und Praxis," pp. 564-78, 626-42, and "Zur Richigsgulung," pp. 756-68.

65. Kautsky to Minna Kautsky (11 July 1910), Bebel to Kautsky (14 and 18 July, 5 August 1910), Bebel, pp. 220–22, 226; Kautsky to Luise (15 and 21 July, 3 August 1910), KFA 36.

66. "Zwischen Baden und Luxemburg," NZ 28:2 (1909-10), 665-67. Later Kautsky referred to himself, Eckstein, Cunow, Bebel, and Hilferding as the "Marxist center." Kautsky to Addler (26 June 1913), Adler. p. 573.

67. Beled to Kautski, G. August. 1910. Bebel to Luise (4, 12, and 29 September 1910). Bebel, pp. 266, 229-51, 223-57. Kautsky to Luise (7. September 1-5 November) – 15 November 100, numérous letters), KF A 36. On several occasions during the next two years, Bebel, who were sevently had slowed down somewhat himself, cautioned Kautsky against overwhein ga again. See Bebel to Kautsky (30 August 1911) and Bebel to Luise (16 March 1912), Bebel, pp. 269-70, 291.

68. For mass action, see "Die Aktion der Masse," NZ 30:1 (1911–12), 43–49, 77–84, 106–17. For the mass strike, see Der politische Massenstreik (Berlin, 1914). For the 1912 elections, see "Praktische Wahlagitation", NZ 29:2 (1910–11), 32–36; "Die Revanche der

NOTES TO PAGES 174-76 . 279

Niedergerittenen," NZ. 30:1. (1911-12), 545-49, "Die Wurzeln des Sieges," NZ. 30:1. (1911-12), 573-81. "Der neue Liberalismus und der neue Mittekstand," Fornäris, 28 February 1912; "Nochmals der neue Mittekstand," Fornäris, 18-11-11, Vernäris, 34-11-11, Vernäris, 36-11-11, Vernäris, 36

69. John A. Hobson, Imperialism (London, 1940); Lenin, Imperialism, the Highet Stage of Capitalism (New York, 1933); Rudolf Hilferding, Dus Finanzkapital (Frankfurt, 1968). Kautsky's praise for Hilferding's book is in "Finanzkapital und Krisen." NZ 29:1 (1910-11), 764-72, 797-804, 838-46, 874-83. For contrasting discussions of Kautsky rangheralism, see John H. Kautsky. "J. A. Schumpeter and Karf Kautsky. Parallel Theories of Imperialism." Midward Journal of Political Science 5:2 (May 1961), 101-28; and Ursula Ratz, "Karf Kautsky und die Abrüstungskontroverse in der deutschen Sozial-demokratie." IRSH 11 (1966), 197-227. For Kautsky's rejection of the identity of imperialism and capitalism, see "Der Imperialisms." NZ 32:2 (1973-14), 908. Mithough not published until 11 September 1914, this article was written some weeks before the war in anticipation of the 1914 congress of the Second International than never met.

70. "Altere und neuere Kolonialpolitik," NZ 16:1 (1897-98), 769-81, 801-16; "Sozialistische Kolonialpolitik," NZ 27:2 (1908-09), 35-36. Roger Chickering, Imperial Germany and a World Without War (Princeton, 1975), pp. 272-77, deals with Kautsky's attitude toward the threat of war in the few years before 1914. Chickering errs on three points. First, he misunderstands Kautsky's general attitude toward the SPD's relationship to German society: Kautsky did not encourage the SPD "to insulate itself as far as possible from capitalist society," as Chickering writes (p. 266). Second, Kautsky's views had not undergone a "dramatic change" by 1911, as Chickering claims (p. 273), because the SPD theorist had long argued that aggressive expansionism and its threat of war were not part of industrial capitalism (see, "Altere und neuere Kolonialpolitik" cited above). And third. Chickering suggests that the different role assigned to finance capital by Kautsky, on the one hand, and the bourgeois pacifist Alfred Fried, on the other, was "of peripheral significance" (p. 276, n. 198). But like most Marxists, and unlike most bourgeois pacifists. Kautsky steadfastly argued that finance capital increasingly dominated commercial and industrial capital (see, for instance, The Social Revolution). The role of finance capital was, therefore, hardly peripheral to Kautsky's position. In placing more emphasis after 1910 on the need for the SPD to cooperate with bourgeois antiwar forces. Kautsky was reaffirming his long-held conviction that the nonsocialists in German society did not form "one reactionary mass" and giving further expression of his conviction that politics were often paramount.

71. "Patriotisms, Krieg und Sozialdemokratie," NZ 23:2 (1904-05), 346, 348; "Patriotisms und Sozialdemokratie," Verairn, 16 Peccember 1905; "Kriegsurschen," Verairn, 18 Petruary 1906; Patriotismus und Sozialdemokratie, (Leipzie, 1907), pp. 11-13. This last was a reprint of articles which originally appeared in the Leipzier Vulkszehung, 4, 6, and 7 May 1907.

6, and v. 34M 1907.
72. Patriotiumu, pp. 9, 22-23; "Der Kongress von Kopenhagen," NZ 28:2 (1909-10), 775-76; "Weltpolitik, Weltkrieg und Sozialdemokratie" (written for the party central committee in mid-August, 1911), in Dobumente und Materialm zu Gerichteht der deutschen Arbeiterbeuegung (Berlin, 1967), pp. 356-61; "Der Baseler Kongress und die Kriegsbetze in Oesterreich," NZ 31:1 (1912-13), 339-40.

73. Kautsky, Sozialismus und Kolonialpolitik (Berlin, 1907); Bebel to Kautsky (15 October 1907), Bebel, pp. 190-91. Vandervelde, "Die belgischen Sozialisten und die Kongofrage," NZ 27:2 (1908– 09), 732–39; Internationaler Sozialisten Kongress, Stuttgart, 1907 (Berlin, 1907), pp. 24–40,
 Kautsky, "Der Suttgarter Kongress," NZ 27:2 (1908–09), 724–30.

75. "Methoden der Kolonialverwaltung," NZ 26:1 (1907–08), 616, 621; "Sozialistische Kolonialpolitik," NZ 27:2 (1908–09), 36-38; "Banditenpolitik," NZ 30:1 (1911–12), 2; Banditenpolitik," NZ 30:1 (1911–12), 2; Banditenpolitik," NZ 30:1 (1911–12), 37:1 (1912–13), 37:1

[10]3.1-11, 10?2-05.

76. "Patrioismus, Krieg und Sozialdemokratie," NZ 23-2 (1904-05), 365-66; "Der criste Mai," NZ 30-2 (1911-12), 106-07, 109; Patriotimus, p. 20. Ratz, "Abrüstung," p. 220, dientifies this change in Kautsky as the point at which "the Marsite criterion for judgment of war was no longer that of social democracy." This strikes me as an arbitrary, or polifator evaluation of the nature of Marsixin, i.e., after the Russian-experience. Before the Russian model emerged, the possible developments of Marsiam covered a much wider spectrum than they seem to now. Ratz also suggests that by a gruing that disarrament could work, Kautsky was implicitly accepting the possibility of peaceful imperiatism (p. 203). I think that Kautsky was simplicitly accepting to the immediate pressures of the time, namely the increasing threat of war, and that he still felt imperialism to be dangerous and something to be eliminated.

77. "Patriotismus," NZ 23:2 (1904-05), 369-70; Patriotismus, pp. 4-5; "Krieg und Frieden," NZ 29:2 (1910-11), 104; "Der erste Mai," NZ 30:2 (1911-12), 108-09,

78. Kautsky to Adler (25 July 1914), Adler, pp. 596–97.
79. Kautsky, Sozialisten und Krieg (Prague, 1937), pp. 436–80; Groh, Negative Integra-

tion, pp. 630, 640, 642-43, 675-84.

80, Kautsky to Adler (28 November 1914), Adler, p. 606; Sozialisten und Krieg, p. 460;

Kautsky to Adler (28 November 1914), Adler, p. 606; Sozialisten und Krieg, p. 460.
 Groh, Negative Integration, pp. 676, 692–93, 695–96, 698.

Chapter Six: The Great War and Two Revolutions, 1914-1924

1. "Die Sozialdemokratie im Kriege," NZ 33:1 (1914-15), 1-2; "Die Vorberietung des Friedens," NZ 32:2 (1915-14), 876-77; "Neue sozialdemokratische Auffassung vom Kriege," NZ 35:1 (1916-17), 321-24. Kausky presented variations and expansions on the themes outlined here in numerous works during the war years. See especially "Die Internationalistic und der Kriege," NZ 33:1 (1914-15), 225-50, and the introduction to the pamphlet from this article, published by Dietz in December 1914; Nationalistica, NZ 34:1 (1915-16), 20-23, 41-49; "Noch einige Bemerkungen über nationale Triebkräfte," NZ 34:1 (1915-16), 705-13.

"Der Krieg" and "Wirkungen des Krieges," NZ 32:2 (1913-14), 844, 947-48;
 "Internationalität," NZ 33:1 (1914-1915), 243; "Eine Richtigstellung," NZ 33:1 (1914-15), 634-36;
 Kautsky to Adler (28 November 1914, 11 February 1915), Adler, pp. 606-07, 611.

3. "Vorbreeiung," NZ 32:2 (1913-14), 881-82; "Wirkungen," NZ 33:2 (1913-19) gl: "De Internationale und for Burgfrieden," NZ 33:1 (1914-15), 88-19; "Internationalizit," NZ 33:1 (1914-15), 225-26; 237-38, 288-49, Some of the evidence Kautaky used to substantiate his claim that the International was not dead was not very concerne. See "Aus der Partie: Danksagung," "Foruir. 29 October 1914, where he argued that the many birthday greetings he had received from foreign socialists: "prove that supposedly dead International lives and gladly grasps every opportunity to announce its continuity."

- Adler to Kautsky (20 March 1915), Adler, p. 617. So as not to be caught unprepared
 when the war ended, on 28 August 1914, Kautsky launched what he hoped would be a
 major discussion of the impending peace, see "Vorbereitung." NZ 32:2 (1913-14).
 876-82.
- 5. "Internationale," NZ 33:1 (1914–15), 19. "Internationalität," NZ 33:1 (1914–15), 252, 246: Internationalität, pp. 2-5 (introduction); "Sozialdemokratische Anschauungen über den Krieg vor dem jetztigen Kriege," AZ 53:1 (1916–17), 300–01. Kautsky developed this cirique most fully between April and July 1915, in two long polemies with Heinrich Cunow and Eduard David in NZ 33:2 (1914–15). For the Cunow debate, see "Zwei Schriften zum Umlerren." pp. 33–42, 71–81, 107–16, 188–46; "Nochmals unsere "Zwei Schriften zum Umlerren." pp. 33–42, 71–81, 107–16, 188–46; "Nochmals unsere "David debate, see "Eine Verteidigung der Zustimmung zu den Kriegskredten." pp. 347–48. For the David debate, see "Eine Verteidigung der Zustimmung zu den Kriegskredten." pp. 313–17; "De Sozialdemokratie im Welkkrieg," 321–29. "Wohling heht die Reise" pp. 394–402; "Ein objectiver Richter und gewissenhafter Historiker," pp. 455–63; "Ein Schlusswort," pp. 566–73. The two works that set Kautsky off against the Umlerner were Paul Lensch, Die destuche Sozialdemokratie und die Welbbrieg (Berlin, 1915), and Heinrich Canow, Patrie-Lummenbruch Ein offenne Wort um innerne Parteitert (Berlin, 1915).
- 6. For Kautsky's efforts to combat the rise of national harted among socialists, see "line Erörterung des Rechts auf Erörterung," XZ 33:1 (1914–15), 373–40; "Zwei Schriften," XZ 33:2 (1914–15), 43-9, 461–63; "Imperialistischer Tendenzen in der Sozialdemokratig." XZ 94:1 (1915–19, 499, 97-10); "Von Radek zu Bethmann," XZ 34:2 (1915–16), 473–80, "Wie englischosten Verbeiter deutstehe Sozialdemokratie von einem internationalen Kongress ausschosten," XZ 34:2 (1915–16), 618–20, "Die Wahrheit auf dem Marsch," XZ 35:1 (1916–17), 169–78.
- 7. For evidence of the Kautsky-Bernstein cooperation during the war, see "Das Gebot der Stunde: Autruff Bernsteins, Kautsky und Hausen," Ledpinger Polkertions, 19 up 1915, reprinted in Eugen Prager, Genériche der U.S.P.D. (Berlin, 1922), pp. 72–741. Nurs der Parteis Bernstein und Kautsky über ihren Aufurf, "Fornier, 11, July 1915. This Phantasie-Interview mit Kautsky und Bernstein, "Fornier, 25 February 1916. After the war Kautsky and Bernstein personal terms and the years of split were largely forgotten. Kautsky und Bernstein receiled and the years of split were largely forgotten. Kautsky had high praise for his old friend; see "Eduard Bernstein ze seinem fuffundischeigisten Gebrustag," Die Geolkhodig 2 (1928), 1-22. Here Kautsky identified 1912 as the turning point, when Bernstein reestablished a closer relationship with the New Zeit, but the way verst brought closer personal relations.
- Prager, Geschichte, pp. 30–31, 34; "Zwei Schriften," NZ 33:2 (1914–15), 76–80, 108–16; "Illusionen," NZ 33:2 (1914–15), 230–41, 264–75. In 1918, Kautsky wrote a longer refutation of the Umlerner, this time focusing on the work of Karl Renner. See Kriesmarizium: Eine theoretische Grundlenung der Politik der 4. August (Vienna, 1918).
- 9. Prager, Geschichte, pp. 93-96; Kautsky, Mein Verhältnis zur Unabhängige Sozialdemokratischen Partie (Berlin, 1922), pp. 3-8.
- 10. These articles were published as a pamphlet in early 1916 along with polemical responses by Otto Braun and Hams Marckwald, whose contributions had also first appeared in the Neue Zeit. This pamphlet, Überzugung und Parti, was published by the left-wing Leipzig party publishing house. For Kautský sintroduction, see pp. 5–6.
 - 11. Überzeugung, pp. 7-11.
 - 12. Ibid., pp. 11-13, 17-20.
- Ibid., pp. 31-32, 37, 41-42. Kautsky continued these arguments through the rest
 of 1916. See in NZ 34:2 (1915-16): "Die Spaltung der Fraktion." pp. 33-36; "Eine
 mahnende Erinnerung," pp. 65-71; "Zur Geschichte des Zentralorgans der Partei," pp.

321-31, 353-65. He also admitted in late 1916 that the original tactics he had espoused in response to the war had been based on several false assumptions. See "Mein Irrtum," NZ 35:1 (1916-17), 216-20.

14. A party conference was held in late September 1916, and though representation procedures greatly favored supporters of the Fraktion majority, and though very little was resolved, Kaunsky was gratified that at least a split had been avoided. See "Die Patreikonferenze," NZ 351:1 (1916-17), 1-5. For an account of this conference, see Praere, Grathide, pp. 108-14.

15. Die Befreiung der Nationen (Stuttgart, 1917), p. 5.

 "Die Vorbereitung des Friedens," NZ 32:2 (1913-14), 876-77; "Die Sozialdemokratie im Kriege," NZ 33:1 (1914-15), 4; Nationalstaat, pp. 10-11, 14. The long quote is from p. 11.

 Nationalstaat, pp. 17-21. Kautsky continued to combat the economic arguments of the right throughout the war. See "Aussere und innere Politik," NZ 34:1 (1915-16), 47-48.

18. "Aussere," NZ 34:1 (1915-16), 43. For Kautský's discussion of the cases of particular nations, see "Das neue Polen," NZ 35:1 (1916-17), 153-66, 177-89, and Stepton and Belgion in der Gorbichite (Stuttgart, 1917). In Die Verenitgten Staaten Mitteleuropes (Stuttgart, 1916), Kautsky used a review of Friedrich Naumann's Mitteleurope as significant of the Naumann's neue of the Naumann's neue of the Naumann's neue of the Naumann's neuer of the Naumann's

 Nationalstaat, pp. 70, 75-77; Staaten, pp. 43-45; "Noch einige Bemerkungen über nationale Triebkräfte," NZ 34:1 (1915-16), 710-11.

20. Nationalstaat, pp. 8-9. Of course soviets had appeared in Russia in 1905, but Kautsky did not then comment in any depth on their significance.

21. For evidence of the assault by the majority on the opposition, see Prager, Geschichte, pp. 39-40, 52-55, 87-91, 93-96, 102-04, 116-20, 129-31.

22. Frager, Geschichte, pp. 67–75, 93–96, 124–29, 133–36 (Kautsky's manifesto is reprinted on pp. 127–29); Robert F. Wheeler, "The Independent Social Democratic Party and the Internationals" (Ph.D. diss., University of Pittsburgh, 1970), pp. 14–16.

23. The articles, which ran in the issues of 23 February, 9, 16, and 23 March 1917, NZ 35:1 (1916–17), are: "Parteispaltung?" pp. 489–98; "Sozialdemokratie und nationalliberale Taktik," pp. 537–45; "Die Wendung zum Nationalsozialismus im Kriege,"

pp. 561-69; "Zwei Arbeiterparteien," pp. 585-91.
24. "Der imperialistische Krieg, NZ 35:1 (1916-17), 449-54, 475-87. This article

"Der imperialistische Krieg, NZ 35:1 (1916)
 appeared in the issues of 9 and 16 February 1917.

25. Ind., pp. 478-80, 482, 484, 486-87. Kautsky made these same arguments again on Away in "Imperialismus und reaktionizer Masse, "NZ 55.2 (1916-17), 102-15. Here he railed against those Marxists who save theory not as a guide to lead them through the labyrind for raility, but as a magic formula which allowed them to skip the labyrind the adjusted to the ends. "Theoretical abstraction," he wrote, "is the way, the only way, to desper understanding of reality, it is not its nurse "image" (n. 104).

26. Prager, Gerchichte, pp. 143–31; USPD, Probabil über dai Ferhandlungen die Participage, (Berlim, 1917), pp. 12; 16–23, 38, 49–50, 52–55, 55–58. Many versat later, participation in the Gotha congress of 1917 were still debating the true nature of this event. Early in 1930, Kausky and Wilhelm Dittmann exchanged letters on this topic. Dittmann argued forcefully that the split had already occurred before the congress and that it was the result of expulsions of antiwar socialists by the SPD central committee. Kausky had apparently sought Dittmann's opinion on the question of whether or not the formation of a new party was a foregone conclusion before the congress. Dittmann thought so, at least from

- a 1930 perspective; Kautsky thought not. See Dittman to Kautsky (19 February 1930), KFA 2.
- USPD, Protokoll, 1917, pp. 61-67, 73-74, 76, 79-82; Prager, Geschichte, pp. 147-51.
 USPD, Protokoll, 1917, p. 66.
 - 29. "Die Gothaer Konferenze," NZ 35:2 (1916-17), 50-53.
- 30. Kaursky to Aselrod (3 October 1917), Aselrod to Kaursky (10 October 1917), 16:5-88, Nicolaresky Collection, Hoover Institution, Stanford, California, "Stockholm: NZ 35:2 (1916-17), 505-12; Prager, Genkinke, pp. 157-60; Whiterina, "Independent Social Democratic Party," pp. 47:6-46; Bundesarthy: Colleng, Rayleffing 214-86, 24:45, Staatssekreår Reichsjustramt an Reichskander (23 August 1917). Lan indebted to Jin Robertson for providing me with chich shaden.

31. Prager, Genkinkte, pp. 154–55; Kautsky to Adler (4 October 1917), Adler, pp. 164–64. Kautsky prophecy of decline in the subscribers to the Nove-Zel was correct, pp. 164–64. Exception of the Nove-Zel was correct, pp. 164–164. Exception of the War, it was not a particularly drazing event when, after Kautsky's departure, the subscription list went from about 4,800 to 3,000. The decline through the war years was typical of most of the party journals, while the Newe Zelf drop in late 1917 was unique. See Periodol. [1914-17, p. 25, and Pretokol. 1919, p. 36. By late 1920, the New Zelf still had not recovered many of the lost subscribers, and by 1924 the journal had come to an end; see Protokol. [1920, p. 88–49.

32. Kriegsmarxismus (Vienna, 1918); Volksherrichaft oder Gewaltherrschaft (Berlin-Bern, 1918), also published in Berlin under the title Demokratie oder Diktatur; Die Diktatur des Proletariats (Vienna, 1918); Sozialdemokratischen Bemerkungen zur Übergungswirtschaft (Leipzig, 1918).

33. Charles B. Burdick and Ralph H. Lutz, eds., The Political Institutions of the German Revolution, 1918–1919 (New York, 1966), pp. 70–72 (cabinet meeting of 18 November 1918); Kautsky, "Die Friedensbedingungen," Freiheit, 11 May 1919, no. 224; Kautsky to Theodore Dan (23 April 1919), KFA 8.

34. Staaten, pp. 54-55.

35. "Eispalast," NZ 35:2 (1916-17), 609-13.

- 36. "Die Aussichten der russischen Revolution," NZ 35:2 (1916-17), 9-11. This number of the Neue Zeit was dated 6 April 1917.
 - 37. Ibid., pp. 11-12. 38. Ibid., pp. 12-20.
- 39. Lenius major contribution to this debate will be dealt with below. Trotsky's major contribution was Terrorius and Gommunius. Anti-Kauthky (1920; pp. An Arbor, 1981) which was a response to Kautsky's Terrorius and Gommunius (1919; pp. London, 1920). Kautsky responded to Trotsky's to eso post to Kautsky Production and State-Shawere (Berlin, 1921). Kautsky responded to Trotsky's to eso post to Kautsky Production and State-Shawere (Berlin, 1921). Kautsky Production and Terrorium (Detrott, 1921). Kautsky wrote two other major attacks on the Bolsheviks, Die production (Detrott, 1921). Mar Programm (Berlin, 1922), translated into English, less the first 63 pages, as The Labour Revolution und horizon and Deadlook (New York, 1931). Kautsky also wrote numerous shorter critiques with other extractions of the twists and turns of Soviet affairs; these may be found in Blumenberg, pp. 108–33. Of speciments forms in this country, Communium and Socialium (New York, 1932), originally published as Kommuniumu und Socialium (New York, 1932), originally published as Kommuniumu und Socialium (Rew York, 1932), originally published as Kommuniumu und Secialium (Rew York, 1932), originally published as Kommuniumu und

40. The Dictatorship of the Proletariat was first published in Vienna in 1918. Large parts of it appeared in Berlin in 1918 under the titles Valsherrschaft ofer Gewaltherrschaft, Demokratic oder Diktatur, and Der neue Stoat. In 1919, it was reissued, in part, under the title Green die Diktatur. In ddition to the articles in the Leipziger Volkszitung and the

Sozialistische Auslandspolitik, a variation on Dictatorship appeared in the Austrian journal Der Kampf (8:209-14) in 1920. Lenin's preface and the editor's notes to The Proletarian Revolution and the Renegade Kautoky (Peking, 1970) review Lenin's attacks on Kautsky.

- 41. The Dictatorship of the Proletariat (Manchester, 1918), pp. 4–5, 12–15, 27–28, 119–20, 140–41: Kautsky to Pavel Axelrod (16 November 1917), 16:5:88, Nicolaevsky Collection.
- 42. Dictatorship, pp. 19-20, 31-32, 35-38, 42-46, 58, 65-69, 70-71, 73-76, 140-41, 43. Ibid., pp. 15, 24, 27-29, 55-56, 78-81, 88-91, 96-97, 100, 103-04, 108-20, 127, 131-34.
 - 44. Ibid., pp. 12-15, 22, 102-03, 136. I have altered capitalization in this passage.
 - 45. Lenin, Proletarian Revolution, pp. 14, 17, 24-25, 32, 38-39, 43-46, 56-62, 65, 99.
 46. Ibid., pp. 20, 23, 32, 43-44, 46, 48, 51, 53-54, 65-68, 75, 83, 90-92, 100, 117-18,
- 120-22. Kaurisky remained aloof from the savage moralizing and personal vendettas that increasingly characterized the non-Boshevia tatack on Lenin and the Soviet system. Despite Kautsky's very close relations with the Mensheviks, he did not succumb to the same bitterness that his Kuusian friends did. When in 1924, at the request of Izentia, he wrote a postmoretme evaluation of Lenin, Kautsky praised Lenin's historical role as a leader of the working-class movement, and indulged in no personal recriminations. Apparendly the reasoned approach caused many of Kautsky's Menshevik friends to protest, because he had to print an explanation in Der Kampf 12 (1924), 176-79. In his justification, Kautsky referred to the need to take into account a person's entire file when making such a postmortem evaluation. He argued that by this standard, Lenin had been amior figure, even though the state he founded continued to be an abomination.
 - 47. Lenin, Proletarian Revolution, pp. 121-22.
- 48. For the German revolution, see A. J. Ryder, The German Revolution of 1918 (Cambridge, 1967), especially pp. 99-101, 146-9, 171-72, and 180, and 1993 (Gambridge, 1967), especially pp. 99-101, 146-9, 171-72, and 180, and 1993 (Garbidke, pp. 180-71; for the workers' councils, see Eberhard Kolls, Die Arbeiteräte net danischen Inampolitik, 1918-1919 (Düsseldorf, 1992) and Prager, Geschichte, pp. 1818-85; for the rejection of the councils as the sole source of the government by the national congress of councils, see "Ein heiser Tage," Ferheid, 20 December 1918, no. 20. Allgeminer Kongress der Arbeiter- und Soldaterwitz Denschlands, 16-21 December, 1918, Stemographische Bericht (Berlin, 1919), pp. 151-44, 150, and Zusite Kongress der Arbeiter-Bauers- und Soldaterwitz Denschlands, 8-14 April, 1919, Stemographische Protokoll (Berlin, 1919), pp. 150-223.
- 49. "Was will die deutsche socialistische Republik?" handbill (1918), KFA 4." "Cher den inneren Gegenast in der USP," (unpublished article manuscript, A. 8.3), cited in Kolls, Arbeiteräte, p. 207, and Ryder. German Revulution, p. 183. Even Kautsky got caught upin the violence in January. On the afternoon of the fifteenth, he was taken prisoner by a group of soldiers and held for a few hours in the harracks of the Fourth Guard regiment. When word of Kautsky's arrest reached the governmental leaders, Ebert was highly distressed. At a joint meeting of the Council of People's Representatives and the Zentulata on 15 January, Ebert said of Kautsky's treatment: "We must find out who was responsible for that, so that he may be properly punished. Kautsky is to be released at once. "Soldiers also armancked the Kautsky's home searching for evidence of a Kautsky-Luxemburg connection. See, "Die Verhaftung Kautskys," Freibeit, 16 January 1919, no. 28. Kolls. Arksterates. 416 (citing RA, G.111): Burdick and Lutz, Padical Institutions, p. 195.
- 50. "Das Weitertrieben der Revolution," Freiheit, 29 December 1918, no. 79, "Die zweite Phase der Revolution," Freiheit, 13 January 1919, no. 23, Mair Weihlimis, pp. 11-13. In the latter, written in 1922, Kautsky made the ultimate criticism of Noske, add many other socialists at that time, by referring to him as the German Gallifet. Gallifet was the commander of the French troops responsible for the massacre of the Communards.

in 1871. See also Eberhard Kolb, ed., Der Zentralrat der deutschen sosialistischen Republik (Leiden, 1969). p. 240, where an unpublished manuscript by Kautsky ("Die Politik der unabhängigen Sozialdemokratie," KA, 107) is cited for his view that the leftists forced the SPD to the right.

51. Kautsky's fullest discussion of the councils and the constituent assembly was "Nationalversammlung und Raieversammlung." which appeared in the Firshat, 5 and 6 December 1918, nos. 37 and 39, and was reprinted as a pamphlet later in the month, for his view of the councils as recolutionary bodies, we below, and also "Richtlinien fur ein sozialistisches Aktions-Programm," a special two-page supplement published by the Friehat, 28 January 1918.

52. Anon., "Deutsche Taktik für die deutsche Revolution!" Freiheit, 14 December 1918, no. 54; anon., "Ein heisser Tag," Freiheit, 20 December 1918, no. 65; Mein Verhältni, p. 14; USPD, Protokoll, March 1919, p. 3; USPD, Protokoll, Nov.-Dec. 1919, pp. 3-5.

53. "Kautsky zur Einigungsfrage," and "Einigung," Freiheit, 17 May 1919, no. 235, and 13 October 1919, no. 494, respectively.

54. For Kautsky's comments, see USPD, Protokoll, March 1919, pp. 123–26, 220–21;

for Zetkin's speech, see pp. 128-41, especially, p. 133.

55. USPD, Protokol, March 1919, pp. 117-18; Ryder, German Revolution, p. 208. Two months earlier Kausky had made even more sweeping recommendations for purging the military and bureaucracy in a program proposal. See "Richtlinens," January 1919 (special issue). Leftsus like Richard Muller, chairman of the executive committee (Voltagener) of the Berlin workers' and soldiers' councils, and Rmil Barth argued repeatedly for strong measures to reduce the position of the officer corpt; see Voltagener meeting of 7 December, and cabinet and Zentulata joint meeting of 20 December 1918, in Burdick and Latz, Political Institutions, pp. 88–89, 107-08.

56. Anon., "Die Vorbereitung der Sozialisierung, "Freide. 21 November 1918, no. 12, anon., "Austrit der Unabhänigien aus der Regierung," 29 December 1918, no. 78, Kautsky, The Guilt of William Hohensollem (London, n.d.; translation of Wie der Welkriege entitand, 1919), pp. 78-8, Ryder, German Revolution, pp. 167-68, 188-83, Kollz, Annalust., p. 316. Though Kautsky officially resigned his office in early January, as one of the negotiators on the issue, he tried to get a compromise on the SPD's demand that freedom of the press berestored before negotiations began—Spartacists had seized and destrowed 24,000 copies of the Fornairio on the press berestored before negotiations began—Spartacists had seized and destrowed 24,000 copies of the Fornairio on the press berestored before not the specialism between the SPD's demand that regotiations began produced to the special service of the Fornairio on the press freedom was rejected, largely because the SPD felt secure in its military position. Kautsky continued through 9 January 1919 to ty cropen SPD-USPD negotiations, working through Count Rantzau, the new foreign minister. See conference of the Zentuluta and go-betweens, 7 January, and cabinet meeting. 9 January 1919, in Burdick and Lutz, Palitical Institution, pp. 179-84.

57. Fon. I. Rakebagress un Vationalisersamilung (Berlin, 1919), pp. 31-35 (the commission report is reprinted on pp. 32-33); anon., Die Vorbereitung der Sozialisierung." Freihert, 21 November Royalor 1918, no. 12: Kolb, Zentralust, p. 67 n.8; Hermann Müller, Die November Revolation (Berlin), 1928), pp. 197-98; Wolfgang Elben, Das Preblem der Kontinuikä in der destüchen Revolation (Disseldorf, 1965), p. 82; anon., "Demission der Sözialisierungskommission," Freiher, 9 April 1919, no. 171.

58. "Expropriation und Konfiskation," Freiheit, 25 November 1918, no. 19;

"Schwierigkeiten der Sozialisierung," Der Kampf, 12 (19 July 1919), 469-74.
59. "Expropriation," Freiheit, 25 November 1918, no. 19; Die Sozialisierung und die Arbeiterräte (Vienna, 1919), pp. 3-7; "Schwierigkeiten," Der Kampf, 12 (19 July 1919), 470.

60. "Expropriation," Freiheit, 25 November 1918, no. 19; "Richtlinien," Freiheit, 28 January 1919 (special issue); Sozialisierung, pp. 8-11.

61. "Richtlinien," Freiheit, 28 January 1919 (special issue); Sozialisierung, pp. 7-8, 11-13.

62. Sozialisierung, pp. 15-16; Zweite Kongress, Protokoll, p. 243. Sozialisierung is the reprinted version of the speech Luise read at the congress, pp. 224-30.

63. Elben, Problem, pp. 111-13; Voltagenat proclamation, 26 November, cabine meeting, 18 November, Voltagenat and cabine meeting, 7 December, abine meeting, 9 December, and cabinet meeting, 18 December, in Burdick and Lutz, Political Institutions, pp. 62, 72-73, 81, 83-86, 89, 90-92, 99-101; anon, "Spät, aber docht" Freiheit, 12 December 1918, no. 51; anon, "Solfs Rücktritt, Freiheit, 18 December 1918, no. 61; anon, "West, and Mary Brotheit, 12 December 1918, no. 61; anon, "West-leit masswartiged and," Freiheit, 21 December 1918, no. 61; anon, "West-leit masswartiged Amit," Freiheit, 21 December 1918, no. 61;

64. Anon., "Ein Skandal," Freiheit, 27 November 1918, no. 22; anon., "Das Auswärtige Am tals Friedensstörer, "Freiheit, 28 November 1918, no. 24; anon., "Die Besetzung des Auswärtige Amtes," Freiheit, 29 November 1918, no. 27; Kautsky, "Die Archiv des Auswärtige Amtes, Freiheit, 1 December 1918, no. 30; Kautsky, Guilt, pp. 7–8.

65. Max Montgelas and Walter Schücking, eds. Die deutschen Dokumente zum Kriegussbruck (1919; pp. Berlin, 1921); Kautsky, Guilt, pp. 8–11. Kautsky received neither part his work on the documents from January through November 1919, nor royalites of any sort following publication. Five years later. Kautsky gave his approval to a new edition of the documents, agreed with Schücking and Montgelas that if a private publisher were to reissue the work, the three of them should receive some royalites, but he declined to twite a foreword for the new edition. See Schücking to Kautsky (16 May 1924), and Kautsky to Schücking (26 May 1924). Kp. May 1924 is Nat.

66. Guilt, pp. 14, 63-64, 247, 257-69.

67. Hans Delbrück, Kautsky und Harden (Berlin, 1920), pp. 6-53; Theodore Schiemann, Drustchland und Kaiser Wildhem II. angelstiche Schuld am subswich Wolfsteige. (Berlin, 1921); Friedrich Freksa, Menschluck Rechtfertigung Wilhelms II Wultich, 1920). Hans Helmholt, another prominent historian, also worde a critical Kautsky, der Historiker (Charlottenburg, 1920). Kautsky wrote a reply to Delbrück: Delbrück und Wilhelm II (Berlin, 1920).

68. Kautsky to Benedikt (31 July 1919). Bebel, pp. xxxix-xl. Kautsky's prewar ambiguity on the question of political revolution and its relationship to social revolution is well represented by this passage from his 1902 work, The Sead Revolution: 'The conquest of state power by a previously oppressed class, that is, political revolution, is therefore an essential sign of social revolution in the narrower sential sign of social revolution in the narrower sent in contrast to social reform '(p. 6). This view clearly presupposes a much closer temporal relationship between political and social revolution than Kautsky borsulated after the war.

69. Mein Verhältnis, pp. 14–16; Georgia: A Social Democratic Republic (London, 1921). See Robert C. Tucker, Stalim As Revolutionary, 1879–1929 (New York, 1973), pp. 67–69, for Kautsky's influence on Iordania, Menshevik leader of the Georgian republic, 1918–21.

Chapter Seven: Return to Vienna, 1924-1938

 Kautsky to Irakli Tseretelli (7 June 1921, 21 October 1927, 20 January 1928, 18 May 1930), Kautsky to Garwy (1 February 1929), 153:323, Nicolaevsky Collection; Kautsky to licebe, teure Genossen (circular letter to exiled Georgian Menshevik, 16 March 1928), KA G17; Kautsky to Theodore Dan (23 April 1929), KFA 8. In what was his strongest denunciation of the Soviet regime to that time, Die Internationale und Soujetrusland (Berlin, 1925), Kautsky discussed the pro- and anti-popular uprising forces at work in Russia (pp. 18, 20-21, 32-38), renounced armed intervention as too bloody and probably counterproductive (pp. 56-57), but rejected the Bolsheviks as potential comrades because of their slaughter of "brother socialists" and their reliance on brutal suppression at home and conspiracy against foreign socialist parties (p. 6). In this work, he referred to the Bolsheviks as "the most dangerous enemy of the proletariat" (p. 6).

2. Kautsky to Genossen (16 March 1923), KA G17; "Demokratie und Diktatur," Der Kampf 26 (1933), 45-58.

3. Kautsky to Garwy (1 February 1929), Kautsky to Tseretelli (18 May, 1 June, 15 June 1930), 15:3:23, Nicolaevsky Collection; Kautsky to Dan (3 June 1929), KFA 8.

4. Kautsky to Garwy (1 February 1929), 15:3:23, Nicolaevsky Collection; Kautsky to Dan (3 June 1929), KFA 8. During the early and mid-1890s, Kautsky had made the same "compromise in practice, but not in theory" distinction while urging the SPD to engage in the Prussian Landtag elections. See above, chap. 4.

5. USPD, Protokoll, March 1919, pp. 115-16, 123, 125-26, 220-21; Zetkin's harsh rebuttal to Kautsky is on pp. 136-38. For an account of this Bern conference (there was another in 1920), see Wheeler, "Independent Social Democratic Party," pp. 155-86. Wheeler argues that Kautsky's speech on "democracy and dictatorship" was not entirely hostile to the Bolsheviks (pp. 184-85), and also points out that like many others at the conference, Kautsky wrongly attributed the final resolution on the matter to Kurt Eisner (pp. 177-80).

6. USPD. Protokoll, March 1919, p. 221; "Judas in Luzern," Freiheit, 20 August 1919,

no. 397; Die Internationale (Vienna, 1920), pp. 54-59, 65-67, 78-80.

7. Fritz Brügel, Der Weg der Internationale (Vienna, 1931), pp. 14-16; Josef Lenz, Die II. Internationale und ihr Erbe, 1889-1929 (Berlin, 1930), pp. 180-207, 221-29; Protokoll der internationalen sozialistischen Konferenz in Wien vom 22. bis 27. Februar 1921 (Vienna, 1921).

pp. 6-7.

8. Friedrich Adler to Kautsky (9 September 1924, 10 August 1930), KFA 2, An example of Kautsky's differences with the L.S.I. and the Austrian party is the article "Demokratie und Diktatur." It was published in Der Kampf, an official journal of the Austrian party, with a note announcing that neither the editorial staff nor the party agreed completely with the views Kautsky expressed. In the same number of Der Kampf, the correspondence between Friedrich Adler and Kautsky concerning the latter's article was published. See "Zur Diskussion über Sowjetrussland," Der Kampf, 26 (1933), 58-69. Kautsky also took a hard line on the question of a united front. See "Ein Verfechter der Einheitsfront," Der Kampf, 21 (1928), 446-52. This, too, was at odds with official L.S.I. policy. There is no survey of the L.S.I.; for its policy positions, see its Second Congress: Report of the Secretariat, and Congress Report (London, 1926); Third Congress: Reports and Proceedings (Brussels, 1928); Fourth Congress: Reports and Proceedings (Vienna, 1931); and the Fifth Congress: Protokoll (Paris, 1933). Official delegate lists are included at the end of the congress reports. For the delegates at Paris, see After the German Catastrophe (Zurich, 1933).

9. L.S.I. fourth congress dossier, Hoover Institution; Arbeiter-Zeitung 44:205, 27 July

1931; Festschrift zur 2. Arbeiter-Olympiade (Vienna, 1931).

10. Fritz Brügel, "Der Weg der Internationale," Der Jugendliche Arbeiter 7 (1931), 9 (later reprinted in longer form as Der Weg der Internationale [Vienna, 1931]; see pp. 9-10 for quote on Kautsky); Die materialistische Geschichtsauffassung, 2 vols. (Berlin, 1927), p. xii (foreword).

11. Axelrod to Kautsky (5 December 1927), 16:5:84, Nicolaevsky Collection; Garwy to Kautsky (10 November 1927), KFA 3.

12. Geschichts., 1, v, 21, 119-27, 140, 197-98, 424-75, 11, 630-31; Lenin, Materialism and

Empirio-Criticim (1998; pp. Peking, 1972), p. 420, On pp. 119-27 of his book, Kausky discussed Erns Mach's notion of "functional dependence" as an alternative to cause-and-effect principle. Despite the urgings of Friedrich Adler to the contrary, Kausky struck to cause and effect. To substantiate his assertion about the reality one external world, Kausky sconverted Descartes' cognito, ergo tum to ago, ergo tum Clarky. The contended that the ability of humans to act, and to perceive the results of their actions, verified not only personal existence, but also the reality of the extrapersonal world (pp. 112-13).

13. Karl Korsch, "Die materialistische Geschichtsauffassung: Eine Auseinandersetung mit Karl Kautsky, "Archi, für die Geschicht de Sessisiloms und der Arbeiterbeugung 14 (1929), 181, 196-213. Korsch and Kautsky had had previous literary confrontations. See Rautsky's review of Korsch's Martismus und Philosophie (Leiping, 1923), in Die Gerdürft 1 (1924), 306-14. Here Kautsky took offense at Korsch's observation that the entire second half of the nineteenth century sav a "Intenting and impoverishment of the teachings of Marx into vulgar Marxism." For a discussion of Karl Korsch (1886-1961), see Claudio Pozzoli, ed., "Dier Kark Korsch (Frankfurt a.M., 1973).

14 Geschidta, I, vii, 65, 127, 197-98, 791; Kautsky to Dan (23 April 1929), KFA 8; Geschidta, I, 107, 136, 241-306, 570-070, 990, II, passim. In an earlier work devoted to a refutation of Bernstein's insistence on coupling Kantian ethics with Marxism, Ethic and the Materialst Conception of History (1996) et pt. Chicago, 1914, Kautsky called changes in techniques of production "the foundation of the entire development of man." (b. 122).

16. "Imperialismus und reaktionāre Masse," NZ 35:2 (1916–17), 102–15; Geschichts., I, 1–7. 1I, 620.

17. Geschichts, 1, xv, 11. 681. Even after writing The Materialist Conception, Kautsky repeated the standard Marxist rejection of absolutes. In "Marxism and Bolshevism." in Socialism, Fascine, Communium, ed. Joseph Shaplen and David Shub (New York, 1934), he rejected "doctrinaire fanaticism" as "contrary to Marxist thought, which recognizes no absolute truth but only relative truth" (p. 176).

18. "Hidersism and Social Democracy," in Socialism, ed. Shaplen and Shub, pp. 53-57, 68, 70-71, 88, 92; "Eninge Ursachen und Wirkungen des deutschen Nationalsozialismus," Der Kampf 26 (1933), 233-45: "Die blutige Revolution," Der Kampf 26 (1933), 233-45: "Die blutige Revolution," Der Kampf 26 (1934), 333-45: "Die blutige Revolution," Der Kampf 26 (1934), 346-61; Kautsky to Garvey (30 August 1938), KFA 8. The letter to Garwy is reprinted in Em Lehm für den Sozialismus (Hanover, 1934), pp. 109-10.

19. "Hitlerism," pp. 73-78, 81, 89, 92, 96, 99-102; "Marxism and Bolshevism," pp.

213-14; Kautsky to Algernon Lee (22 December 1935), KFA 8.

 Gregory Bienstock to Kautsky (30 December 1933), KFA 2. A portion of this letter is reproduced in EEE, p. 7.
 Kautsky to Bienstock (15 January 1934), KFA 8; "Hitlerism," p. 102. A portion of

 Kautsky to Bienstock (15 January 1934), KFA 8; "Hitlerism," p. 102. A portion of the letter to Bienstock is reproduced in E∉E, p. 8.

22. Kautsky to Algernon Lee (7 July 1935), KFA 8; Oscar Pollack to Kautsky (9 March 1933), KFA 8; Aus der Frühzeit der Marxismus (Prague, 1935).

23. Krieg und Demokratie (Berlin, 1932); Sozialisten und Krieg (Prague, 1937). For Kautsky's plans for the four volumes, see Krieg, p. vii; for the bizarre fate of the second volume, see Scialisten, p., v-vi.

24. KFA 118:3 ia collection of letters and dippings concerning Kautsky's nomination for the Nobel peace prize: Paul Olberg, "Karl Kautsky als Kandidat für den Nobel-Friedenspreis 1938," in Ein Leben für den Sotalmun, pp. 103-08. Albert Einstein refused to support Kautsky's nomination. See George Garry, "Albert Einstein and the Nobel Peace Prize for Karl Kautsky," IRSH 18 (1973), 107-10.

NOTES TO PAGES 243-54 · 289

 Bruno, review of Karl Kautsky, Sozialismus [sic] und Krieg, in Der einzige Weg: Zeitschrift für die Vierte Internationale 1 (1937), 27–28.

26. E ∉ E, pp. 6, 15-16; interviews with Dr, Karl Kautsky, Jr., summer 1972, 1975-76; Benedikt Kautsky to Friedrich Adler (8 June 1945), Benedikt to Felix (24 July 1945), in B. Kautsky, Luise Kautsky, pp. 30, 34-40.

 Interviews with Dr. Kautsky. Benedikt Kautsky wrote an account of the concentration camp experience. Tenfel und Verdammte (Zurich, 1946).

28. Luise Kautsky, pp. 3, 10-12, 17-23, 30.

29. Bernstein und das sozialdemokratische Programm, pp. 42-49.

30. "Marxism and Bolshevism," p. 192.

31. Ibid., p. 182.

32. Ibid., p. 181; Rafael Abramowitch to Kautsky (14 October 1930, 5 September 1932), KFA 1:1; Kautsky to Algernon Lee (8 July 1935), KFA 8.